

IN HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT  
MIRPURKHAS

C.P No. D-531 of 2025  
*[Kalyan Das and 03 others vs. Province of Sindh and others]*

Before:  
Justice Abdul Mobeen Lakho  
Justice Riazat Ali Sahar

Counsel for Petitioner:	Mr. Bhooro Bheel, Advocate.
Counsels/ Representatives for Respondents:	Mr. Muhammad Sharif Solangi, Assistant Advocate General, Sindh..
Date of Hearing	06.8.2025
Date of Judgement	06.8.2025

JUDGMENT

RIAZAT ALI SAHAR, J. Through this judgement, we intend to dispose of captioned petition, wherein the following relief is sought:

- A. Direct the respondents to comply with the provisions of the Sindh Civil Servant Act, 1973, the Employment and Rehabilitation Ordinance, 1981, and all relevant administrative notification, by appointing, the petitioners under the reserved quota for persons with disabilities.*
- B. Order the respondents to provide employment to the petitioners in positions they are qualified for, in accordance with the Disabled Quota requirements, within a reasonable period.*
- C. Grant any other relief that this Honourable Court may deem fit and appropriate under the circumstances.*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are differently-abled persons who had applied to different posts for appointment under the Disabled Quota. However, despite the passage of a considerable period, no such post has been granted to the petitioners. Aggrieved by this unexplained inaction and delay, and having no alternate or efficacious remedy available to them under the law, the petitioners have been constrained to approach this Court by invoking its extraordinary constitutional jurisdiction under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking appropriate relief to safeguard their fundamental rights.

3. As observed in similar Constitutional Petitions pending before this Court, **C.P. No. D-1725 of 2024, C.P. No. D-1706 of 2024, and C.P. No. D-1735 of 2024**, the concerned Deputy Commissioners have undertaken to accommodate **differently-abled** individuals against the Disabled Quota in various departments after receiving recommendations from the respective departments. Such undertakings are being made pursuant to the directions contained in the order dated 19.03.2024 passed in **Constitutional Petition No. D-176 of 2023 titled *Abid Ali Jatoi and others v. Province of Sindh and others*** by the High Court of Sindh, Bench at Sukkur.

*“In the course of arguments, it has transpired that out of 204 petitioners, 96 petitioners have applied for appointment on disabled quota and their applications are pending before relevant authorities and have not been considered yet in terms of 5% quota. Learned AAG*

*has undertaken that their applications would be considered on merits and out of them if anyone is found competent and deserving would be appointed against the post as per his qualification within a period of two months and such report would be submitted. Regarding remaining petitioners, he has proposed that they may be directed to file applications for appointment as per their qualification in the relevant districts and its copy may be sent to his office and he will make sure that their applications are considered and decided in accordance with law by observing 5% disabled quota as ordained by the law and the Constitution. The counsel for the petitioners is satisfied, hence this petition is accordingly disposed of in above terms.*

*Let this order be implemented despite any stay granted by any court including a Single Bench of this Court in exercising jurisdiction under Civil Procedure Code except by the Supreme Court. A copy of this order be sent to the office of AAG for a perusal and compliance.”*

Thus, the petitioner's case falls squarely within the protective umbrella of the aforesaid judicial directive.

4. Learned Asst. A.G Sindh is present in Court in other matters and waived notice of instant petition and conceded the above legal position.

5. Nevertheless, in the interest of justice and fair opportunity, it is clarified that the petitioners shall remain at liberty to apply afresh for employment under the Disabled Quota. In processing any such applications, the competent authority shall act strictly in accordance with the binding directions contained in the order dated 19.03.2024 passed in **Constitutional Petition No. D-176 of 2023 titled Abid Ali Jatoi and others v. Province of Sindh and others** by the Honourable High Court of Sindh, Bench at Sukkur. Any

appointments made pursuant thereto shall be affected in accordance with the petitioner's eligibility and against vacant posts available under the **Disabled Quota**, ensuring that no discrimination is practiced and that all statutory and constitutional rights of the petitioners are duly safeguarded.

In light of the above, the instant petition stands **disposed of** in the terms mentioned herein alongwith pending miscellaneous applications.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

AHSAN K. ABRO