

**HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
HYDERABAD**

Cr. Bail Application No.S-700 of 2025.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1. For orders on office objection(s).
	2. For hearing of main case.

15.08.2025.

Mr. M.J @ Raees Amir Lutuf Ali Khan Zardari,  
Advocate for Applicants/ Accused.

Mr. Khalid Hussain Lakho, D.P.G for the State a/w  
ASI Nahroo Rabari from PS Khoski.

**ORDER**

TASNEEM SULTANA J:- Through the instant Bail Application, applicants/accused Imam Bux and Ghamshad Ali are seeking post-arrest bail in crime No.34 of 2025 registered at Police Station Khoski, District Badin, for the offence under Sections 324, 337A(i), F(i), L(ii), 506/2, 504, 34-PPC. Earlier their post-arrest bail pleas were declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Badin vide order dated 05.06.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, the same could be gathered from the copy of the FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. The learned Counsel for the applicants/accused argued that the applicants/accused are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in this case. He has further contended that in fact the victims and complainant have extended their no objection to the extent of Bail Application of Applicants/ Accused. He lastly prayed for bail to the applicants.

4. On the other hand, learned D.P.G for the State submits that if the parties have no objection for grant of bail, then he has also no objection for the same relief.

5. Heard. Record perused.

6. Admittedly, FIR of the incident has been lodged with the delay of about 05 days, such delay having not been explained plausibly could not be over looked. More-so, complainant and victims by filing their affidavit now record their no objection to grant of bail to the applicants. They further expressed that they have amicably settled their dispute and voluntarily forgive the all accused persons.

7. In these circumstances, a case for grant of bail to the applicants/ accused on the point of further inquiry is made out. In case of Muhammad Najeeb v. The State (2009 SCMR 448), it has been held by the Honourable Supreme Court of Pakistan that;

*“Complainant initially had nominated the accused in the F.I.R., but later on through an affidavit he had expressed his satisfaction with regard to the innocence of the accused; the case of accused was of further inquiry...”*

8. In view of the above, the Applicants/ Accused are admitted to post-arrest bail subject to their furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) **each** and P.R Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

9. The Bail Application in hand stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Ali.