

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.D-242 of 2025

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

27.03.2025.

1. For orders on M.A. No.947/2025.
2. For orders on office objection.
3. For orders on M.A. No.948/2025.
4. For orders on M.A. No.949/2025.
5. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Habibullah G. Ghouri, advocate for the petitioner.

1. Granted.
3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

2,4&5. Through this petition the petitioner has prayed as under:-

- a) *That this Honourable Court may be pleased to quash/set aside the impugned order dated 14.02.2025 passed by Deputy Commissioner Kamber-Shahdadt Kot issuing directions for keeping the computerized entries in the record of rights in respect of the agricultural land being subject matter of pending civil cases and without issuing and serving the notice upon the petitioner and without giving him an opportunity of hearing.*
- b) *To suspend the operation of impugned order dated 14.02.2025 being passed in sheer violation of principles of natural justice.*

Learned Counsel submits that the Deputy Commissioner/ respondent No.4 had passed impugned order on 14.02.2025 whereby he cancelled the entries in the revenue record of the petitioner without issuing the notice to him. He further submits that regarding the same property the suit has been filed for declaration, specific performance of contract, cancellation and perpetual injunction and plaint was rejected in that suit; appeal was preferred against the order of rejection of plaint, which also met with the same fate and Civil Revision is pending in this Court. He further submits that the order of the Deputy Commissioner, on the face of it, is illegal, null, void and without lawful authority; however, when confronted with the legal position that in view of the pendency of civil revision and the remedy available under the land revenue hierarchy by way of filing appeal or revision, how this petition is maintainable, the Counsel for the petitioner is not able to satisfy the Court. In view of above, legal position, the petition in hand is devoid of merits and is therefore, dismissed in *limine* along with listed applications. However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail the remedy prescribed under the law, if he chooses so.

JUDGE

JUDGE

