

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No.3046 of 2024

Applicant : Muhammad Abbas Khan S/o Umar Sahab
through Mr. Muhammad Ibrahim Abro,
Advocate

Respondent : For Complainant:
Muslim Khan S/o Shamsur Rehman present
in person.

For State:
through Ms. Rubina Qadir, Addl. P.G. a/w
SIP/IO Muhammad Asghar

Date of hearing : 10.03.2025

Date of order : 10.03.2025

ORDER

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J -- Through this Bail Application, applicant/accused seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.573/2024 for the offence under Section 320/322/144 PPC of PS SITE-A, Karachi, after his bail plea has been declined by the Addl. Sessions Judge-X, Karachi West vide order dated 18.12.2024.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Per learned counsel for the applicant, applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that there is no role against the applicant and only he was driving Chingchi rikshaw; that the I.O. has falsely implicated the present applicant in this case; that the applicant is in jail and is no more required for further investigation. Lastly, he prays for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.G. has opposed for grant of bail. However, complainant Muslim Khan son of Shamsur Rehman is present and states that he has pardoned the applicant in the name of Almighty Allah and raises no objection if the applicant is granted bail.

5. Heard the parties and perused the material available on record.

6. Since the complainant has pardoned the present applicant in the name of Almighty Allah and raised no objection if bail is granted to the applicant. Resultantly, the instant bail application is **allowed**. The applicant/accused named above is granted post-arrest bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and P.R. bonds in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

7. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant/accused on merits.

JUDGE

Kamran/PA