## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

## Criminal Bail Application No.2932 of 2024

Applicant : Imran Khan S/o Dilnawaz Khan

through Mr. Aamir Haroon Meo, Advocate

Respondent : The State

through Ms. Rahat Ahsan, Addl. P.G. a/w SIP Manzoor Ali & Nazir Ahmed

Date of hearing: 14.03.2025

Date of order : 14.03.2025

## ORDER

**AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J** -- Through this Bail Application, applicant/accused seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.603/2024 for the offence under Sections 420/506 PPC registered at PS Ittehad Town, after his bail plea has been declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge-XII, West Karachi vide order dated 10.12.2024.

- 2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.
- 3. Per learned counsel for the applicant, applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that in fact the applicant is owner of the said house; that he has purchased the said house in 2014 and since then he is residing there. In support of his arguments, he has submitted sale agreement alongwith electricity and gas bills. He further submits that in fact one Altaf has cheated with the Complainant who claimed himself as owner of the said house and sold out the same to the Complainant; that name of the applicant is mentioned in the end of the FIR. Lastly, he prays for confirmation of bail.
- 4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.G. has vehemently opposed for confirmation of bail.
- 5. Heard the parties and perused the material available on record.

- 6. From perusal of record, it reflects that one Altaf sold out the house of the applicant to the complainant Mst. Shakira; however, applicant present in Court submits that he is owner of the subject property and he purchased the same in 2014. Since then he is residing on the said house and in support of his contention, he has produced electricity and gas bills showing his name as owner of the subject house. Learned counsel for the applicant also contended that offence in which the applicant has been charged does not fall within the prohibitory clause of section 497 Cr.P.C. Further, it is yet to be seen whether the applicant has cheated with the complainant or one Altaf who sold out the house to her when evidence will be recorded. The applicant is on bail for last three months and is regularly attending the Court. He has not misused the concession of bail. At bail stage, only tentative assessment is to be made.
- 7. In view of the above, learned counsel for the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail in terms of subsection 2 of section 497 Cr.P.C. Resultantly, the instant bail application is **allowed**. The interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant/accused vide order dated 18.12.2024 is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions. The Applicant is directed to attend the trial. However, it is made clear that if the applicant/accused misuses the concession of bail, learned trial Court would be at liberty to take appropriate action.
- 8. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant/accused on merits.

**JUDGE** 

Kamran/PA