

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Civil Transfer Appln.No.S-15 of 2024

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

Fresh case.

1. For orders on office objection "A"
2. For orders on CMA No.946/2024
3. For hearing of main case.

24.03.2025

=.=.=.=.=.=.=.=.=.

The counsel for applicant called absent, the applicant is present in person and states that he does not want to press the transfer application.

In view of the above, the transfer application is dismissed being not pressed.

Hamid Bampi
Judge

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.S-40 of 2025

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
--------------------	-------------------------------

24.03.2025.

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, Asstt: A.G, along with SIP Ghulam Mustafa Jagirani on behalf of SSP Kamber-Shahdadkot, SIP Ali Dost Chandio on behalf of SSP, Larkana, Abid Hussain Chandio, SHO, PS Arija, Rehmat Khatoon, SHO, PS Women and Imran Ahmed Bhatti, SHO, Waleed.

Respondents No.1 to 6 have filed their statements through learned Assistant A.G which are taken on record.

Mr. Rizwan Ali Abro, holds brief for Mr. Nisar Ahmed Abro, counsel for the petitioner states that the private respondents are causing harassment to the petitioner and he will be satisfied if SSP Larkana and SHO, PS Areeja (respondent No.1) are directed to provide protection to the petitioner, who is residing within the jurisdiction of PS Areeja Larkana.

Learned Assistant A.G states that official respondents will provide protection to the petitioner in accordance with law as and when they will approach.

In view of this position, the petition is disposed of with directions to the SSP Larkana and SHO PS Areeja to provide protection to the petitioner as and when required.

Copy of this order be sent to the learned Assistant A.G and the SSP, Larkana for compliance.

Hamid Raza
JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
2nd C. P. No.S-21 of 2025

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
--------------------	-------------------------------

, 24.03.2025.

1. For orders on MA No.199/2025
2. For orders on office objections.
3. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Mangi, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, A.A.G, along with SIP Ghulam Mustafa Jagirani.

Urgent Application is granted.

Since the respondent No.3 had made an appearance through learned Assistant A.G, statement has been filed by the respondent No.3 which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners after going through the statement does not press the petition with request that the official respondents may be directed to provide protection and no harassment shall be caused. Learned Assistant A.G states that the official respondents will act in accordance with law and no harassment shall be caused. He further submits that whenever the petitioners will approach the official respondents, they will be provided protection.

The petition is disposed of with the directions to the official respondents to act in accordance with law and to ensure that no harassment shall be caused to the petitioners and the respondents shall provide protection to the petitioners on their approach.

Copy of this order be sent to the learned Assistant A.G and the respondent No.3 for compliance.

Hamid Bhutto
JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.S-39 of 2025

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
--------------------	-------------------------------

24.03.2025.

1. For orders on MA No.117/2025.
2. For orders on office objections.
3. For orders on MA No.118/2025
4. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, Asstt: A.G,

Mr. Rizwan Ali Abro, holds brief for Mr. Nisar Ahmed Abro, counsel for the petitioner.

Learned Assistant A.G files statement on behalf of the respondent No.1. Counsel holding brief states that he will be satisfied if the order of protection is passed. The statement of the respondent No.1 reflects that there is matrimonial dispute between the parties and the police has neither caused harassment nor intends to do so and allegations leveled by the petitioner are false, however, he states that legal protection will be provided to the petitioner as and when required.

Learned Assistant A.G states that official respondents are duty bound to act in accordance with law and they will provide protection to the petitioner. Consequently, this petition is disposed of with directions to the official respondents to act in accordance with law and provide protection to the petitioner on his approach.

Copy of this order be sent to the learned Assistant A.G and the respondent No.1 for compliance.

Hamid Bawari
JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.S-418 of 2024

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
--------------------	-------------------------------

24.03.2025.

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For orders on MA No.922/2025
3. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Asif Noor Bughio, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, Asstt. A.G, along with SIP Adho Khan Lashari.

Learned Assistant A.G files statements of respondents No.1 and 2, which are taken on record.

Learned counsel for petitioner states that after contracting marriage with Mst.Latifan, the daughter of respondent No.3 on 12.09.2021 on account of freewill, the private respondents are causing harassment to the petitioner, his wife and family members and the official respondents although have been approached, requiring protection but no heed was paid, therefore, the petitioner has filed petition for protection according to law.

Learned Assistant A.G states that the official respondents are duty bound to provide protection to every citizen of Pakistan, he however, submits that no harassment has ever been caused to the petitioner and the protection would be provided to him on his approach.

Learned counsel for the petitioner after going through the statements of the respondents filed by the learned Assistant A.G has shown his satisfaction.

The petition is disposed of with the directions to the official respondents to act in accordance with law and to ensure that no harassment shall be caused to the petitioner, his wife and family members and protection will be provided as and when required.

Copy of this order be sent to the learned Assistant A.G and the respondent No.1 for compliance.

Hamid Buzurg
JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.S-69 of 2025

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
<u>24.03.2025.</u>	

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Habibullah Ghouri, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashique Ali Jatoi, advocate for respondents No.5 and 6.
Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, Asstt: A.G, along with SIP Ghulam Mustafa Jagirani, on behalf of SSP, Kamber-Shahdadkot and Inspector Naeem Akhtar Abro, SHO, PS Nasirabad.

The Official respondents No.1 to 3 filed statements through learned Assistant A.G which are taken on record.

Mr. Ashique Ali Jatoi, learned counsel places Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.5 and 6.

The official respondents states that there is private dispute going-on between the petitioner and private respondents. They have not harassed the petitioner and the allegations leveled against them are false and fabricated and there is no truth in it.

Learned Assistant A.G contended that the official respondents will act strictly in accordance with law. He further submits that they have not harassed the petitioner as claimed by him. Learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 contended that there is dispute going-on between the parties for which they will avail legal remedy according to law.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if the official respondents are directed to provide lawful protection to the petitioner and act strictly in accordance with law.

In view of above position, the petition is disposed of along with listed applications (if any) by directing the official respondents not to harass the petitioner and provide protection to the petitioner as and when required strictly in accordance with law. The respondents No.5 and 6 are at liberty to proceed against the petitioner for their grievance in accordance with law, if so advised.

Copy of this order be sent to the learned Assistant A.G and the respondent No.3 for compliance.

Hameed Benji
JUDGE