

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No.376 of 2025

Applicant : Dilawar S/o Abdul Kareem
through Ms. Azra Hameedi, Advocate

Respondent : The State
through Ms. Rahat Ahsan, Addl. P.G. a/w
PI Waseem Shahzad

Date of hearing : 18.03.2025

Date of order : 18.03.2025

ORDER

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J -- Through this Bail Application, applicant/accused seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.22/2023 for the offence under Sections 302 PPC at PS Bilal Colony, after his bail plea has been declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII/MCTC-02, Karachi Central vide order dated 23.01.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Per learned counsel for the applicant, applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that this is unseen and unwitnessed incident; that the fingerprints have not matched with the applicant; that not a single person has stated against the applicant for his involvement in this offence; that the applicant is in jail and he is no more required for further investigation. Lastly, he prays for grant of post-arrest bail.

4. On the other hand, Ms. Aanisa Ghouri, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record. She as well as learned Addl. P.G. vehemently opposed for grant of bail.

5. Heard arguments and perused the record.

6. Admittedly, the deceased Mst. Anum is wife of the applicant. On the day of incident, he was present with the deceased in the

bed room and in fact, he was the last person who left her and subsequently, she was found dead in her bedroom. The applicant has not given any plausible explanation as to how she was died in her bedroom; even after the incident, the applicant remained fugitive from law. Sufficient material is available on record to connect the applicant with the commission of offence. At bail stage, only tentative assessment is to be made.

7. In view of the above, learned counsel for the applicant has failed to make out a case for grant of bail in subsection 2 of Section 497 Cr.P.C. Resultantly, the instant bail application is **dismissed**. However, trial Court is directed to expedite the matter and conclude the same preferably within 60 days.

8. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant/accused on merits.

JUDGE

Kamran/PA