

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Const. Petition No. S- 286 of 2024

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

Fresh Case

1. For orders on office objection flag 'A'
2. For orders on M.A No. 607/2024 (E/A)
3. For Hearing of main case.

18.03.2025

Mr. Munawar Ali Abbasi, Assistant A.G for the State.  
-----

Petitioner Naveed Ali has filed this petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking annulment of the judgment dated 29.06.2024 passed by the learned District Judge, Larkana in Family Appeal No. 16 of 2024, whereby the learned appellate Court maintained the judgment and decree dated 05.03.2024 passed by the learned Civil/Family Judge-III, Larkana with the observation that it is well reasoned.

Today, the counsel for the petitioner is called absent without intimation. This court is left with no option but to dismiss this *lis* in terms of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of M. Hamad Hassan v. Mst. Isma Bukhari & others (2023 SCMR 1434) and Arif Fareed v. Bibi Sara & others (2023 SCMR 413), therefore, this petition is dismissed in default.

JUDGE

A.H.Qazi/\*\*

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Const. Petition No. S- 59 of 2024

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

Fresh Case

1. For orders on office objection flag 'A'
2. For orders on M.A No. 121/2024 (E/A)
3. For Hearing of main case.

18.03.2025

Mr. Munawar Ali Abbasi, Assistant A.G.  
-----

Petitioner Muhammad Khan has called into question the legality of the judgment dated 09.06.2021 passed by the learned Family Judge-I, Mehar in Family Suit No. 43/2021 whereby the family suit of the plaintiff was decreed ex-parte to the extent of prayer clause (a) as discussed in the impugned judgment. Appeal was preferred which met with the same fate vide order dated 25.10.2022. The learned appellate court concurred with the view taken by the learned Family Court while dismissing the application under section 9 (6) of the West Pakistan Family Court Act, 1964. Since the petitioner and his counsel are called absent without intimation, therefore, this Court is left with no option but to adhere to the principles laid down by the Supreme Court in the cases of *M. Hamad Hassan v. Mst. Isma Bukhari & others* (2023 SCMR 1434) and *Arif Fareed v. Bibi Sara & others* (2023 SCMR 413), therefore, this petition is dismissed in default.

JUDGE

A.H.Qazi/

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Const. Petition No. S- 38 of 2025  
(Abdul Raheem v. SHO, PS Ratodero & others)

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For Non-Prosecution

Date of hearing and Order: 18.03.2025

Mr. Muhammad Ashique Dhamraho, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Munawar Ali Abbasi, Assistant A.G.

=====

**ORDER**

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J:-** Petitioner Abdul Raheem has filed this petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 seeking directions to police not to cause harassment and provide protection to the petitioner and his family members at the hands of private respondents.

2. Learned A.A.G. present in the Court seeks disposal thereof with the narration that no harassment shall be caused to the petitioner by the police officials.

3. This Court stressed the police department's responsibility, particularly the Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP), to address such issues. While the petitioner has a fundamental right to protection from both police and private harassment, this court clarified that the police retain full authority to act legally if either party commits a cognizable offense, as Article 4 of the Constitution guarantees everyone the right to be treated according to the law, which includes fairness and the elimination of any factors that obstruct legal processes.

4. This is a simple case of harassment and the police has to act strictly in accordance with law and no harassment shall be caused to either party by the police authorities and if there is any dispute of civil or criminal nature between the parties the same shall be taken care of by the competent court of law and the police shall not indulge in private affairs.

5. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

  
JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Const. Petition No. S- 422 of 2024

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For Non Prosecution

18.03.2025

Mr. Faiz Muhammad Larik, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Munawar Ali Abbasi, Assistant A.G.

-----

Petitioner Pervaz Ahmed has filed this petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking direction to the official respondents to record his statement and register F.I.R. against the private respondents No.3 to 7. However, it appears that he failed to appear before the concerned Justice of Peace to seek similar relief. Today, he is called absent without intimation. Perhaps, he has attempted to thwart the legal proceedings by not approaching the concerned Court and directly filing the petition before this Court. However, the concerned SHO is directed to look into the matter of the parties, if he has a cognizable case and the same shall be taken care of under law by calling the petitioner at the Police Station if the dispute is civil, he shall lay off his hands.

The petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

A.H.Qazi\*\*