## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C.P. No. D-2300 of 2024

Date	Order with Signature of Judge
Bato	Order with eighten or dauge

## **Hearing**

- 1. For order on CMA No. 5695/2025 (Urgent/A)
- 2. For hearing of main case

## 18-03-2025

Mr. Usman Farooq Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. Sandeep Malani, Assistant Advocate General Sindh

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 2. Present petition seeks suspension of Notification dated 28.02.2024 issued by a University. While this Notification was not annexed with the petition originally, the same was filed subsequently with a statement. The impugned notification states that the review appeal by the Petitioner has been turned down by the educational institution. The document placed on record demonstrates that the decision was taken by the Syndicate of the University and Resolution No. 17.7 deals with the reasons for the order. Per learned counsel since there is no further provision of review / appeal, hence, this petition.

Learned Assistant Advocate General states that this is the second petition on the same grievance, the earlier being C.P. D-3592 of 2023 which has already been disposed of.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner made no attempt to befall the educational institution within the qualification of person, per Article 199(5) of the Constitution. It is further noted that the Petitioner is aggrieved by a disciplinary process and has availed the remedy of review etc.

The absence of any further remedy is not automatically give rise to writ petition as observed by the Supreme Court in the case of *Gul Taiz Khan Marwat Vs. The Registrar, Peshawar High Court, Peshawar (PLD 2021 SC 391)*. Even otherwise, the Supreme Court has deprecated the tendency of the High Court to interfere in educational/disciplinary matters in the exercise of its writ jurisdiction as observed in the case reported as *PLD 2022 SC 92*.

In view hereof, petition is found to be misconceived, hence dismissed.

Judge

Judge