## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA Const. Petition No. S- 287 of 2018

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

Hearing of case

- 1. For orders on office objection flag 'A'
- 2. For Hearing of main case.

## 17.03.2025

Mr. Ghulam Dastagir A. Shahani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Atta Hussain A. Chandio, Advocate for the Respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Abdul Waris K. Bhutto, Asstt: AG for the State.

Petitioner Syed Niaz Hussain Shah being a landlord filed a Rent Application before the learned Rent Controller, Larkana, which was allowed vide order dated 22.05.2017. However, the first Rent Appeal was preferred by the private respondents, which was allowed vide judgment dated 10.03.2018, whereby the impugned order dated 22.05.2017 was set aside. The petitioner has assailed the legality of the appellate order on the premise that the appellate Court failed to consider that the tenancy was not denied by Zulfiqar Ali and admitted that Abdul Razzaq was original tenant of the shop in application, which was rented out to the father of private respondent No.1, therefore, the impugned decision is illegal.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner files a statement with the narration that the parties have compromised the matter outside the Court, hence he does not wish to press the instant petition. Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 & 2 as well as learned Assistant A.G have recorded no objections. Without touching the merits of the case and prejudice the parties, the present *lis* is over in terms of consent given by the petitioner.

A.H.Qazi/\*\*