

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.**  
**Cr. Bail Appl. No.791 of 2024**  
***(Saleem Siddique vs. The State)***

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of Bail Application.

**27.06.2024.**

Mr. Shahnawaz Ali, advocate a/w Applicants  
Mr. Fareed Hussain, advocate for complainant  
Syed Mumtaz Ali Shah, Assistant Prosecutor General

**ORDER**

**MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J:** Complainant is a real sister of applicants. She has alleged in the FIR that on account of some dispute, the applicants had occupied her house bearing House No.B-74 & B-75, Street No.2, Wazar brohi Goth, Scheme-33, Karachi and issued her threats. Hence, she registered an FIR No.1147/2023, u/s 506, 504, 354, 147, 149. 337A(i) at Police Station SITE Superhighway, Karachi. But after some time when she went to her house, she found her articles one LED 32 inch, one fridge Dawalance, 1 ½ gold, UPS Solar System, valuing Rs.90,000/- microwave oven, glass dinner et, kitchen utensils, household beds, gas cylinder, one touch phone infinix valuing R.44,000/- and other cloths were stolen by applicants.

2. Bail application of the applicants has been dismissed by the trial Court on the ground that one witness namely Abdul Latif has implicated applicants. But then as per learned APG, learned trial Court has granted bail to one Usman Siddique, the co-accused, who is also a younger brother of the applicants and equally implicated by the said PW. Hence, per learned defence counsel rule of consistency is applicable. Learned APG further submits that some of the articles were recovered from one Shazia a niece of the complainant, who has been granted bail. Since recovery has been effected from other accused, the case of further inquiry is made out against the applicants. Accordingly, this bail application is allowed and ad-interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicants vide order dated 08.04.2024 is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions.

3. Bail application stands disposed of in the above terms. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

**JUDGE**

Rafiq/P.A