

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI
C.P. No. D-8491 of 2019
C.P. No. D-3956 of 2023

Date	Order with Signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

C.P. No. D-3956 of 2023

1. For order as to non prosecution of CMA No. 8617/2024
2. For order on CMA No. 8624/2024
3. For order as to non prosecution of CMA No. 8625/2024
4. For order on CMA No. 8626/2024
5. For order as to non prosecution of CMA No. 8627/2024
6. For order on CMA No. 8628/2024
7. For order as to non prosecution of CMA No. 8629/2024
8. For order on CMA No. 8630/2024
9. For hearing on CMA No. 8615/2024
10. For hearing on CMA No. 86192/2024
11. For hearing of Main Case

C.P. No. D-8491 of 2019

1. For haring of Misc. No. 3966/2022
2. For hearing of Misc. No. 598/2020
3. For hearing of Misc. No. 37262/2019
4. For hearing of Main Case

04-02-2025

Mr. Yasin Ali Advocate for the Petitioners in CP No. D-8491 of 2019
Haji Akbar Advocate for the Petitioner in CP No. D-3956/2023
Syed Samiullah Shah Advocate for Respondent No.4
Mr. Sandeep Malani, Assistant Advocate General Sindh
Ms. Alizeh Bashir, Assistant Attorney General
Mr. Ghous Bux, Special Prosecutor NAB

Mr. Yasin Ali Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of the Petitioners in C.P. No. D-8491 of 2019, which is taken on record.

Perusal of the prayer clauses of the petitions demonstrate that a non-specific overarching relief has been sought with respect to the entire Scheme No.29, Musharaf Colony, Baldia Town, Karachi. 19 official respondents have been impleaded without it being discernible as to what relief is sought from the respective offices. The allegations are of a factual nature requiring inquiry/investigation/evidence and such adjudication is not warranted in writ jurisdiction. Since no specific stance of grievance has articulated before us, therefore, the *locus-standi* of the petitioners to maintain such a generic petition would also not be established. Learned counsel for the Respondent

states that the Petitioners are encroachers and under the garb of these petitions are seeking to perpetuate their illegal activities.

Be that as it may, in view of the observations as aforesaid, the petitions appear to be misconceived, hence, dismissed along with listed applications. Office is directed to place a copy in lthe connected petition.

Judge

Judge

Amjad PS