

33

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
C. P. No.D-09 of 2018

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

26.2.2018.

For hearing of main case.

Mr. Asif Ali Muhammad Khan Chandio, advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Abid Hussain Qadri, State Counsel, along with DSP Javed Ahmed Wadho, SDPO Dokri, SIP Dilijan Magsi, SHO PS Bakrani and SIP Muhammad Rafique Sangi on behalf of SSP, Larkana.

-----

This petition is habeas corpus in nature and its para No.3 shows that the petitioner has alleged that on 04.7.2017, at about 8.30 a.m. his brother Naseer Ahmed Abro was taken away by some unidentified persons in a government vehicle.

In response to the notice respondents No.1 and 2 have filed the comments. The SSP, Larkana has also submitted the comments, which show that on the allegations of the petitioner a JIT was formed in compliance of order of this Court, which took sincere efforts and searched the villages and other suspected places situated within the jurisdiction of Police Stations Bakrani, Gerello, Dokri and Badeh, but no clue in respect of the whereabouts of the alleged detainee was found. It has further been revealed that the alleged detainee is the absconder in Crime No.127/2014, under sections 3/4 Explosive Substances Act read with section 6/7 Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997 of Police Station Taluka, Larkana.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner before us has argued that the brother of the petitioner was taken away by respondent No.1/SHO P.S Bakrani, as it was he who had returned to the petitioner motorcycle of the alleged detainee. However, before us no such proof has been submitted. The said SHO is present, who has denied the allegations. We have read the entire petition, no where in specific terms

M

the allegations have been leveled against the said SHO that it was he who had taken away the detenu. The alleged detenu is absconder and wanted in some criminal case, so the possibility of his concealing himself cannot be ruled out. Moreover, in the light of specific comments of SSP, Larkana, we do not find any merit in the instant petition, which is accordingly dismissed.

CS