


ORDER SHEET
THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, AT KARACHI
R.A.No.28 of 2019

Date Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)



Priority

1. For hearing of CMA No.1990 of 2019
2. For hearing of main case

01.04.2021

Mr. Mehmood Ahmed and Mr. Irfanullah,
Advocates for respondent No.1

-o-o-o-

Mr. Ebrahim Saifuddin, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of Applicant which is taken on record.

This revision is pending for quite sometime. Since a lot of time has been consumed during pendency of this revision, counsel for respondent No.1 submits that he would not object if the revision is allowed and the documents be ordered to be produced in evidence of the applicants through the respondent`s witnesses.

I have perused list of documents mentioned in the application u/s 16 r.1&7A r/w S.151 CPC which is at page 21 Annexure A/1. The applicants` only contention is that they may be allowed to `produce` the originals of the documents before the trial court. Production of documents is one thing and proof of documents is another. Since respondent counsel is permitting the applicants to produce the documents, I permit them to such an extent, however, it will be upto to applicants and/or witnesses that apart from producing the documents they may prove authenticity and contents thereof as required under law.

With these observations, by consent, revision application is allowed with direction that evidence be recorded by trial court expeditiously.


JUDGE