

57

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
Crl Misc. Appln. No.S-146 of 2013.

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE
-----------------	---------------------------------------

25.7.2013.

1. For orders on office objection as Flag 'A'.
2. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ather Abbas Solangi, advocate for applicant.

Respondent No.2 is present in person.

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Messrs. Inayatullah, G. Morio & Naushad Ahmed Tagar,

advocates file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2, same is taken on record.

1. For orders on office objection as Flag 'A'.

Respondent No.1 has filed statement annexed with certain documents, same is taken on record, copy whereof supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

At the out set of the proceedings, learned counsel for the applicant states that due to typographical mistake, he has arrayed Allah Bux as respondent No.2, thus he does not press instant petition and intends to file fresh. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 contends that the applicant has deliberately dragged the respondent No.2 with intention to cause harassment and due to notice of this Crl. Misc. Application, he has traveled from Karachi to attend this Court, therefore, he reserves his rights to file suit for damages in the competent Court.

In view of above, instant application is dismissed as not pressed.

However, respondent No.2 is at liberty to approach any Court for redressal of his grievance, if any.

does not press instant petition and intends to file fresh. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 contends that the applicant has deliberately dragged the respondent No.2 with intention to cause harassment and due to notice of this Crl. Misc. Application, he has traveled from Karachi to attend this Court, therefore, he reserves his rights to file suit for damages in the competent Court.

Judge

M.Y.Panhwar/**

intended to cause harassment and due to notice of this Crl. Misc. Application, he has traveled from Karachi to attend this Court, therefore, he reserves his rights to file suit for damages in the competent Court.

In view of above, instant application is dismissed as not pressed.

However, respondent No.2 is at liberty to approach any Court for redressal of his grievance, if any.