

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.D-499 of 2013.

DATE
OF HEARING

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE

1. For orders on office objection 'A'.
2. For orders on M.A.No.2962/2022.
3. For orders on M.A.No.3490/2018.
4. For hearing of main case.

07.03.2023.

Mr. Atta Hussain Chandio, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Farooq Ali Bhutto, advocate for the respondents No.6 to 15.

Mr. Liaquat Ali Shar, Addl. A. G.

Though the petition is one for quo-warranto against the respondents No.6 to 15, the petitioner concedes that himself was a candidate but was not appointed. Nevertheless the ground on which he has challenged the appointment of respondents No.6 to 15 is that they did not have the academic qualification to be eligible for appointment as per the advertisement. ^{However} ~~Moreover~~, apart from making a bald allegation to that effect, learned counsel is not able to substantiate the same from the record. The petition being devoid of merits, is dismissed.