

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA.
Constt: Pett: No. D-1389 of 2014.

Date _____ Order with signature of Judge. _____

- 1 For orders on M.A No.2045/2015 (151 CPC)
- 2. For Katcha Peshi.

29.09.2016.

Petitioners are present in person.
Mr. Ali Raza Pathan State Counsel.

=====

Through the instant petition the petitioner No.2 seeks his appointment in police department against the son quota.

It is alleged that the petitioner No.1, who is the father of the petitioner No.2, served about 35 years in Police Department and retired as DSP/ADIGP on 07.02.2008, the Petitioner's son Safdar Abbas Abbasi, the petitioner No.2 is, therefore, entitled to be appointed in police department as per order No.3032-42/E.I dated 10.03.2010 issued by the respondent No.1, Inspector General of Police, Sindh Karachi. It is further alleged that petitioners moved applications for the appointment in police department against son quota for the posts of A.S.I, Junior Clerk, and Police Constable, which were processed by the concerned quarters for further necessary action. The application of the petitioner No.1 for the appointment of his son as ASI against son quota was forwarded to the Inspector General of Police Sindh Karachi by the Deputy General of Police Larkana Range vide letter dated 20.12.2012 but the same was declined as appointment for the posts of ASIs are conducted through Sindh Public Service Commission under the directions of the High Court of Sindh Karachi. The applications which were moved for the appointment as Junior Clerk or police constable were forwarded by the Senior Superintendent of Police Larkana for further necessary

83

action and during course of recruitment for the post of constable, the son of the petitioner was called by the then Senior Superintendent of Police Larkana vide his WT message SS-192/119 dated 5.1.2015 to appear in written test at PTS Larkana he did not appear in written test for the post of police constable whereas he only appeared in written test of junior clerk against the son quota.

The comments on the petition have been field by the Deputy Inspector General of Police Larkana Range, the respondent No.2, wherein all the afore-mentioned facts have been admitted by him, however it has been alleged that the process of the appointment as junior clerk in police department against son quota is still under process as no vacancy against son quota is sanctioned by the Government of Sindh as per report of S.S.P Larkana in Larkana Rang. Since no vacancy has been sanctioned against son quota recruitment since 2012, no recruitment against son quota may be made.

We; therefore, with the consent of the parties disposed of this petition by directing the respondent No.2, that whenever post of junior clerk against son quota is sanctioned, the case of petitioner No.2 shall be considered in accordance with the Recruitment Policy and Police Rules for the appointment against son quota.

Copy of this order be communicated to Deputy Inspector General Police Larkana for information and compliance.

Petition disposed of in above terms.

0 .