

(37)

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA.  
C. P. No.D-166 of 2012

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

08.9.2016.

1. For orders on office objection.
2. For orders on M. A. No.4488/2016.
3. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ghulam Mahdi M. Sangi, advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Munawar Ali Abbasi, Asst. A.G.

-----

Through instant petition, the petitioner seeks directions to respondents for his appointment as Police Constable.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the year 2006 the posts of Police Constables were advertised by the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Jacobabad and the petitioner being eligible applied for the said post, he was called for written, oral as well as physical tests, which he qualified including 459 other candidates. The petitioner secured 132 marks and his name was placed at Sr. No.428 in the merit list. The learned Counsel submits that despite the fact that the petitioner qualified all the tests, he was not selected for the post of Police Constable as he could grease the palms of superior officers being financially poor, hence finding no other alternate remedy he has approached this Court for the redressal of his grievance.

On the other hand, the learned Asst. Advocate General while referring the parawise comments filed by the DIG Police, Larkana Range, Larkana, the respondent No.4 and Senior Superintendent of Police, Jacobabad, the respondent No.2, has contended that vide letter dated 09.6.2006 the then DPO, Kashmore called all candidates for medical fitness, character verification and other codal formalities and for measurements of height and chest, the petitioner appeared before DSP Headquarter, Kandhkot on 16.6.2006 but he was declared unfit in chest measurement as the required measurement of chest was 33" - 34½", but

the chest of petitioner was found as 30" - 31½" only. After that recruitment processes was held and completed in 2008-09 and 2012 and third process has reached at viva voce but the petitioner did not appear before Recruitment Committee for the reasons best known to him.

There is no cavil to the proposition that for the appointment in Police Department the candidates are required to meet certain mental and physical fitness, for that minimum standard has been prescribed to enable a person to work effectively in police force, as he encounter stressful situation, trauma, physical confrontation and work long hours on shifts. He needs to be resilient enough to cope with the demands and pressures of police work. The applicant must therefore be in good health mentally and physically to undertake police duties.

In this case, the petitioner had failed to meet with the criteria settled for physical fitness and it was in 2006 when he applied for the post of Police Constable, thereafter this petition was filed on 11.2.2012, when not only the process of recruitment in Police Department in 2006 was completed but thereafter two recruitment processes were held in the years 2008 and 2012 and the petitioner did not appear in the said subsequent two processes. This petition is, therefore, dismissed being without merit as well as being badly suffered from latches.

