

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.D- 787 of 2013

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE <u>OF</u> JUDGE
-------------	---

21.11.2013.

Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Faheem Hussain Panhwar, State Counsel a/w P.I. Syed Manzoor Ali on behalf of SSP Hyderabad.

=

Mr. Farhad Ali Abro, Advocate filed Vakalatnama on behalf of private respondents, which is taken on record.

Through the instant constitution petition filed by petitioner Muhammad Yousif on 20.04.2013, it is prayed for the following reliefs:-

“a) That this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.1 to take legal action against the respondent No.3, as he has joined his hand with the respondents No.4 to 8 and used to register false FIRs against the petitioner having almost same stories after getting illegal gratification from them coupled with directing him to provide all legal possible protection to the petitioner and his family members in order to save any harm at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

b) That this Honourable Court may further be pleased to direct the respondent No.02 to take legal action against the respondents No.4 to 7, as they have been posing themselves as Office bearers of the Anjuman-e-Tajiran Chotki Ghitti, Hyderabad without getting Elect themselves in accordance with the bye laws and terms and conditions of the registration while directing him to cancel the registration of the said Anjuman.

c) That this Honourable Court may also further be pleased direct the respondent No.2 and 3 for not causing any harassment to the petitioner, as and when the petitioner approached to them with the request to act in accordance with law, but on the contrary they harassed the petitioner with the threats of dire consequences.

d) That this Honourable Court may also further be pleased to grant ad-interim injunction by suspending the registration of the Anjuman-e-Tajiran Chotki Ghitti Hyderabad till the fresh Election of the said Anjuman would be conducted according to bye laws and terms and conditions of the registration coupled with restraining the respondents No.4 to 7 to use the pad and seals of the said Anjuman.

e) Any other relief(s) which this Honourable Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances.”

Notices were issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G. Comments have been filed by respondents No.1, 2 and 3. In the comments it is stated that no harassment

whatsoever has been caused to the petitioner nor the petitioner shall be harassed in future. It is further stated by SSP Hyderabad, respondent No.1 that every protection as provided in law shall be provided to the petitioner. Learned advocate for petitioner says that respondent No.2 is not performing his legal obligation. Learned State Counsel says that respondent No.2 shall conduct himself in accordance with law. In these circumstances, learned advocate for the petitioner is satisfied and does not press the instant petition more, it is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Tufail