

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.**

R.A.No. 188 of 2007

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Chaudhry Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G.  
Mr. Hakim Ali Siddiqui, Advocate for respondent.

=

Matter is sufficiently old and pertains to 2007. By consent, adjourned to  
23.12.2013, to be finally heard.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 436 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Shabeer Hussain Hashmi, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Ejaz Ali Hakro, Advocate for respondents.

=

Learned advocate for the petitioner has filed the instant constitution petition against the order passed on application u/s 16(2) of Sindh Rented Premises Ordinance, 1979. He has to satisfy the Court as to how the constitution petition is maintainable.

Adjourned to 13.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ist.Appeal.No. 14 of 1992

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Kamaluddin, Advocate for applicants.

=

Court motion notices were issued to the respondents, the same are not returned served or unserved. Repeat notice.

To be fixed after winter vacation.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 186 of 2000

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Chaudhry Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G. for applicants.

=

Mr. Ishrat Ali Lohar, Advocate submits that he had undertaken to file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2 but the respondent No.2 is not in contact with him. He submits that he withdraws from his undertaking. Office is directed to issue notice to the respondent.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 213 of 2005

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Chaudhry Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G. for applicants.

=

Mr. Inam Malik, Advocate holding brie for Mr. Ghulam Hyder Shah,  
Advocate for respondents, requests for adjournment on the ground that latter is  
unwell.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ind.Appeal.No. 03 of 2006

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Jhamat Jethanand, Advocate for respondent.

=

It is pointed out that learned advocate for appellants has been elevated to the Bench. Issue notice to the appellants.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 275 of 2010  
IInd Appeal No.56 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Pir Bux Bhurgri, Advocate for applicants.  
Mr. Pirbhulal Goklani, Advocate for respondents

=

By consent adjourned to 24.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 385 of 2010

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Jhamat Jethanand, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Imdad Ali Unar, Advocate for respondents.

=

By consent adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 06 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Naimatullah Soomro, Advocate for applicants.  
Mr. Jhamat Jethanand, Advocate for respondents.

=

By consent adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ind.Appeal.No. 08 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Bhatti, Advocate for appellant, who is reported to unwell.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 10 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for applicant.

=

Intimation notices were issued to the respondents, the same are not returned served or unserved. Repeat notice.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 27 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G.  
Mr. Imdad Ali Unar, Advocate for respondent.

=

By consent adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ind.Appeal.No. 31 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Abdul Sattar Kazi, Advocate for appellant.

=

Intimation notices were issued to the respondents, the same are not returned served or unserved. Repeat notice through all the three modes.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 40 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

None present.  
=

Repeat Court motion notice.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ind.Appeal.No. 44 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Nisar Ahmed Unar, Advocate for respondents.

=

Appellants and their counsel are called absent, the same was the position on the previous dates of hearing viz. 04.10.2012 and 04.11.2013.

Adjourned as a last and final chance. Issue intimation notice to the counsel for appellants for 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ind.Appeal.No. 52 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Appellant and his counsel are called absent.  
Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G.

=

Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Jamali, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Muhammad  
Ishaque Khoso, Advocate for respondents who is reported to be unwell.

Adjourned. Issue intimation notice to the counsel for appellant.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 54 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Jhamat Jethanand, Advocate for respondent.

=

Applicant and his counsel are called absent. Issue intimation notice to the  
counsel for applicant. Notice to the applicant also.

Adjourned to 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 77 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Riaz Ali Memon, Advocate for applicants.

=

Learned counsel for applicants requests for time as he is not feeling well.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 81 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

None present.  
=

Notice was issued to the unserved respondents, the same is not returned served or unserved. Repeat notice for 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 84 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Jagdish R. Mullani, Advocate for applicant.

=

Mr. Karim Bux holding brief for Mr. Muhammad Lutufullah Arain, Advocate  
for respondents, who is reported to be unwell. Mr. Jagdish raised serious objection.  
However, in the interest of justice, adjourned to 13.01.2014.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 98 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Applicant and his counsel are called absent. As an indulgence, adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 152 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Mairaj Ahmed, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Jawaid I. Bukhari,  
Advocate for applicant, who is reported to be busy before D.B.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 184 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Intimation notices were issued to learned counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for respondents, the same are not returned served or unserved. Repeat notice.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 207 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Karamullah Memon, Advocate for applicant.

=

Learned advocate for applicant says that he has been instructed by the applicant not to press the instant Civil Revision Application, the same is dismissed as not pressed.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 230 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

None present.  
=

On 1.6.2012 none appeared for the applicant and the matter was adjourned. On 15.10.2012 none appeared and the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice. On 26.09.2013 matter was adjourned in the interest of justice. Today the position is same, therefore, instant Civil Revision Application is dismissed on account of non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 253 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G.

=

Notices were issued to the respondents, the same are not returned served or unserved. Repeat notice for 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 283 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Behzad Ali Umrani, Advocate for petitioner.

=

Mr. Karim Bux holding brief for Mr. Rafique Ahmed, Advocate for respondents, who is reported to be busy before D.B.

Adjourned to 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 10 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

None present for the applicant. Issue notice to the applicant as well as his  
counsel for 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 68 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

None present. Issue notice to the applicant as well as his counsel for

23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 138 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa, Advocate for respondents.

=

Mr. Faraz Ahmed, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Sartar Iqbal, Advocate for applicant, who is reported to be unwell.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 142 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Muhammad Sulleman Dahri, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. Malik Abdul Wahab, Advocate for respondents.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G.

=

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to place on record certain documents.

Adjourned to 20.01.2014.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 248 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Faraz Ahmed Chandio, Advocate for applicant.  
Mr. Hussain Bux Solangi, Advocate for respondents.  
Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G.

=

Notices were issued to the respondents. As per endorsement of Bailiff, notice have been served upon respondent No.8 and returned unserved upon respondent No.7. Respondent No.8 is called absent. In the best interest of justice, repeat notice to all the respondents.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 268 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Faraz Ahmed, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Muhammad Arshad Pathan,  
Advocate for applicant, who is reported to be before Honourable Apex Court.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 277 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on CMA 1101/2013.
2. For Katcha Peshi.
3. For hearing of CMA 1436/2012.

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ejaz Ali Hakro, Advocate for applicants.  
Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Jamali, Advocate for respondents.

=

1. By consent an application Under Order 22 Rule 4 C.P.C. is allowed. Let the amended title be filed before the next date of hearing.

2&3. Adjourned to 16.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 05 of 2013

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Nadeem Abbas, Advocate for applicant.

=

Learned advocate for applicant says that he has been instructed by the applicant not to press the instant Civil Revision Application, the same is dismissed as not pressed alongwith listed application.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

M.A.No. 09 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on CMA 1208/2013.
2. For orders on CMA 1209/2013.
3. For Katcha Peshi.
4. For orders on CMA 1210/2013.

03.12.2013.

Mr. Amjad Ali Sahito, Advocate for appellant.

=

1. Granted.
2. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 3&4. Notice to the respondents as well as A.A.G. for 06.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 22 of 2013

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Nusrat Mehmood Gill, Advocate for respondent No.1.  
=

Miss Nasira Shaikh, Advocate holding brief for Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa,  
Advocate for applicants, who is reported to be busy before D.B.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 41 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For Katcha Peshi.
2. For orders on CMA 503/2013.

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G. for applicants.

=

Applicants being dissatisfied with the judgment dated 10.01.2013 and decree dated 10.01.2013 passed by Additional District Judge, Sanghar in Civil Appeal No.74/2002, Re-Province of Sindh and others V. Ramzan and others, have preferred the instant revision application. It is contended that learned Additional District Judge has grossly erred in dismissing the appeal of the applicants and did not appreciate the fact that the land involved in the matter belongs to Forest Department.

Admit. Notice to the respondents. Call for R&Ps.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 184 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ali Gohar, Advocate for petitioners.  
Mr. Raja Khan, Advocate for respondents.

=

It is pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioners that there was another connected petition bearing C.P.No.617/2011 and that has been disposed of on 05.12.2012. Office is directed to tag the same alongwith instant petition.

Adjourned to 20.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 463 of 2013

---

**DATE            ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Bhagwandas Bheel, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Mukhtar Ahmed Khanzada, State Counsel a/w SIP Muhammad Saleh Hingorjo PS Taluka Umerkot.

=

Through the instant Constitution Petition filed on 03.08.2013, petitioner Majnoo has prayed for the following reliefs:-

***“A. Direct the respondent No.1 to restrain the respondents No.2 and 3 from harassing the petitioner and his family members/relatives at the behest of respondents No.4 to 6 in any form or manifestation and treat them like respectable citizens as guaranteed in the Constitution of Islam Republic of Pakistan.***

***B. To direct the respondent No.1 to provide legal protection of life, prestige and property to the petitioner and his family members/relatives against the sheer highhandedness of the respondents No.2 to 6.***

***C. Direct the respondents No.2 to 6 to furnish statements before this Honourable Court that they will not harass the petitioner and his family members/relatives in any form or manifestation.”***

Notices were issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G. Comments have been filed by respondents No.1, 2 and 3. In the comments it is stated that petitioner never approached SSP Umerkot. Allegation of harassment has been denied and it is stated that petitioner whenever approaches the police, legal protection as provided in law shall be provided to the petitioner. Learned State Counsel undertakes that official respondents shall conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law. Learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied from such comments/statements as well as undertaking given by learned State Counsel and does not press the instant petition more, it is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 494 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Bhagwandas Bheel, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Mukhtar Ahmed Khanzada, State Counsel.

=

Learned advocate for the petitioner says that he has been instructed by the petitioner not to press the instant Constitution Petition, the same is dismissed as not pressed.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 508 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Bhagwandas Bheel, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Mukhtar Ahmed Khanzada, State Counsel a/w SIP Riaz Ahmed SHO PS Tando Adam and ASI Ratan Sindh PS Mithi.

=

SHO PS Tando Adam is present in Court and submits that alleged detenues have not been detained within the territorial jurisdiction of PS Tando Adam. In these circumstances, it is ordered that SSP Sanghar shall ensure the recovery of alleged detenues and produce them before this Court on 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 670 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Wahid Bux Aajiz Leghari, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Faheem Hussain Panhwar, State Counsel a/w Ahtasham Ali Jamali SHO  
PS Johi.

=

Through the instant constitution petition filed on 28.10.2013, petitioner Mst.

Farheen has prayed for the following reliefs:-

***“a. To restrain the respondents No.2 and 3 from harassing the petitioner and her husband at the behest of respondents No.4 to 6 in any form or manifestation and treat them like respectable citizens as guaranteed in the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.***

***b. To direct the respondent No.1 to provide legal protection of life, honour, prestige and property to the petitioner and her husband and their relatives and well-wishers from the cruel clutches of the respondents No.2 to 6.***

***c. To declare the act of respondent No.4 to 6 declaring the petitioner and her husband as karo kari (honour killing) as illegal, unlawful, against the Teachings of Islam.”***

Notices were issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G. Comments have been filed by official respondents No.1 and 3, copy has been supplied to the learned counsel for petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner after going through the comments states that he is satisfied and does not press the instant petition more. Learned State Counsel further submits that every legal protection as provided in law shall be provided to the petitioner if and when she approaches. On the basis of statements as well as undertaking by State Counsel, the instant petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 690 of 2013

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Muhammad Aslam Bhatti, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Faheem Hussain Panhwar, State Counsel.

=

At the request of learned advocate for the petitioner, adjourned to 09.12.2013.

To be fixed according to Roster.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 707 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Shoukat Ali Pathan, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Faheem Hussain Panhwar, State Counsel.

=

Mr. Abdul Razak Dasti, Advocate filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.4, 5 and 6. State Counsel filed comments on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Let the copy of comments be supplied to the counsel for petitioner. At the request of counsel for respondents, adjourned to 17.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Ist.Appeal.No. 12 of 1999

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Kamaluddin, Advocate for appellants.

=

Learned advocate for appellants submits that R&Ps of land acquisition matter may be summoned. Call for R&Ps. Counsel for respondents is called absent. Issue intimation notice to him.

Adjourned to 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 716 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Bhagwandas Bheel, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G a/w Inspector Iqbal Ahmed Shaikh  
SHO PS B-Section, Dadu.

=

SHO PS B-Section, Dadu present in Court states that petitioner has never approached him for registration of the FIR. SHO further says that in case the petitioner approaches him, he will conduct himself strictly in accordance with law. In these circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner being satisfied does not press the instant petition more, it is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 723 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on MA 10912/2013.
2. For Katcha Peshi.

03.12.2013.

Mr. Muhammad Tarique Bugti, Advocate for petitioner.

=

1. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
2. Notice to the respondents as well as A.A.G. for 13.12.2013. SSP Sanghar and SHO Sinjhoru shall submit the report regarding illegal detention, if any of baby Mehak.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 724 of 2013

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Noorul Amin Sipio, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner and seeks time.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 732 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on MA 10857/2013.
2. For orders on MA 10858/2013.
3. For Katcha Peshi.
4. For orders on MA 10859/2013.

03.12.2013.

Mr. Sajjad Hussain Shah, Advocate for petitioner.

=

1. Dismissed being infructuous.
2. Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 3&4. Instant constitution petition has been filed against the order dated 24.09.2013 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Shahdadpur in Rent Appeal No.03/2013 (Re-Muhammad Ashraf V. Zamanullah), whereby appeal was allowed. It is submitted that impugned order is against the law, facts and equity.

Admit. Notice. Call for R&Ps.

To come up on 24.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S- 736 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Karim Bux Rind, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Ch: Bashir Ahmed, Assistant A.G. a/w SIP Muhammad Iqbal SHO PS A-Section, Shaheed Benazirabad, SIP/SHO Muhammad Rahim PS Taluka, SIP Mithal, SHO Mubeen PS B-Section and ASI Ghulam Shabir CIA, Shaheed Benazirabad.

=

Through the instant constitution petition filed on 22.11.2013, petitioner Ghulam Mustafa has prayed for the following reliefs:-

***“a. That this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.1 to 7 not to harass, intimidate, coerce and call the petitioner at the Police Stations and not to make him to sit at the Police Stations and the respondents be restrained from detaining or harassing the petitioner and his family members at the instance of friends of respondent No.2.***

***b. That the SHO P.S. A-Section Nawabshah be directed to provide necessary legal protection to the petitioner and his family members.”***

Notices were issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G. Comments have been filed by Additional A.G. on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6, copies of the comments have been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner. After going through the comments filed by official respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his satisfaction and states that he would not press the instant petition more in case protection is provided to the petitioner. Learned A.A.G. submits that every protection as provided in law shall be provided to the petitioner. Respondents in their comments have denied the allegation of harassment. In these circumstances, petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Jail.Appeal.No.S- 398 of 2011

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

Appellant/convict has filed the jail appeal. Issue P.O. for the appellant.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.B.A.No.S- 875 of 2011

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

Applicant/accused is present on interim pre-arrest bail. He submits that his counsel is lying ill.

Adjourned to 27.12.2013 with direction that in case bail application is not agued on the next date, the concession of interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant/accused shall be recalled.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Appeal.No.S- 42 of 2012

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Zahid Hussain, Advocate for appellants.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.  
Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for complainant.

=

At the request of learned counsel for appellants, adjourned.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Appeals.No.S- 366 & 370 of 2012

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Jamali, Advocate for appellants in Cr.A.No.366/2012.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

Issue notice to the complainant.

Adjourned to 27.12.2013 for hearing of application for suspension of sentence  
as well as main case.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Appeal.No.S- 37 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for appellant.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

It is informed by the office that paper book has been prepared. Adjourned to 26.12.2013 for hearing of main appeal as well as an application for suspension of sentence.

JUDGE

Tufail



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.B.A.No.S- 157 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for applicant.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

Repeat notice to the complainant, to be served through concerned SHO. In case of non-service, SHO shall appear in person.

Adjourned to 26.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.B.A.No.S- 241 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Shahid Hussain Koondhar, Advocate for applicant.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

Complainant and his counsel namely Mr. Nusrat Mehmood Gill are called  
absent. Issue intimation notice to the counsel for complainant for 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Misc.Appl.No.S- 332 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Shahnawaz Brohi, Advocate for applicant.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

SSP Tando Muhammad Khan is directed to make efforts for recovery of  
alleged detinue and produce her before this Court on the next date.

Adjourned to 24.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr.Misc.Appl.No.S- 428 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

03.12.2013.

Mr. Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for applicant.  
Mr. Muhammad Qutub Zaman, Advocate for respondent No.3.  
Mr. Shahid Shaikh, A.P.G. for the State.

=

Issue notice to the remaining unserved respondents. Learned A.P.G. filed statement on behalf of SHO PS Johi and report submitted by SHO PS Mauripur District West Karachi, which are taken on record.

Adjourned to 23.12.2013.

JUDGE

Tufail

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.D- 2318 of 2013

---

**DATE            ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

1. For orders on MA 11319/2013.
2. For orders on MA 11320/2013.
3. For Katcha Peshi.
4. For orders on MA 11321/2013.

03.12.2013.

Mr. Khait Kumar Khatri, Advocate a/w petitioners.

=

1.     Granted.
2.     Granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 3&4. It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 after embracing Islam has contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 with her free will being sui-juris and sworn such affidavit. Copy of which is placed on record. They have also produced a certificate issued by Jamiat-ul-Aziz and Nikahnama of both the petitioners alongwith instant petition. It is further contended that father of petitioner No.1 namely Amarshee who is made respondent No.5 in this petition became annoyed due to marriage of petitioner No.1 and her embracing Islam, therefore, has lodged FIR No.272/2013 at P.S. A-Section, Hyderabad, nominating the petitioner No.2 and his brother and the official respondents are harassing the petitioners and attempting to arrest the petitioner No.2 and his brother in the aforesaid false FIR. The petitioner No.1 present in Court affirms the contents of her affidavit and solemnization of her marriage with petitioner No.2. She has also denied the allegation of abduction narrated in the FIR.

In view of above, let in the first instance notice be issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G. for 12.12.2013. Meanwhile, the SHO PS A-Section, Hyderabad shall not arrest the petitioner No.2 in FIR No.272/2013.

JUDGE

JUDGE





