ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.NO.D-3097 OF 2016

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For katcha peshi.

20.02.2017

Syed Muhammad Waseem Shah, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

Through the instant constitutional petition, the petitioner has prayed for following relief:-

- a) To direct the official respondents No.5 to 7 not to harass the petitioner and his staff by visiting M/s. Al-Falah Petroleum Service and S.S. Enterprises/CNG Station situated at Bypass Qasimabad Hyderabad and extending threats for direconsequences including lodging false FIRs against the petitioner and his staff on refusal of paying illegal gratification to them.
- b) To direct the respondents No.2 to 4 to conduct departmental enquiry against the respondents No.5 to 7 and take action against them as they misused their official powers and demanding illegal gratification from the petitioner and his Manager.
- c) To direct the respondents No.2 to 4 to depute an honest police officer not below the rank of DSP to conduct fair investigation of Crime No.103/2016, U/S. 324, 353, 401, 147, 148, 149 PPC of Police Station Bhitai Nagar, Hyderabad and disposed of the same in accordance with law.
- d) To direct the official respondents No.2 to 4 to provide protection to the petitioner and his staff members and restrain the official respondents NO.5 to 7 from visiting M/s. Al-Falah Petroleum Service and S.S. Enterprises/CNG Station situated at Bypass Qasimabad Hyderabad, demanding illegal gratification and on refusal issuing threats for lodging further false FIRs and causing harassment.
- e) Any other relief, which this Honourable Court deem fit and proper may also be awarded to the petitioner.

Notices were issued against the respondents as well as A.A.G Sindh. Respondent No.4/SSP Hyderabad was directed to conduct the inquiry into the matter and submit the report. Accordingly, inquiry report is submitted by Sub-Divisional Police officer Baldia, Hyderabad. Counsel for petitioner submits that grievances of the petitioner have been redressed almost. However, Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No.6 & 7, who police officials, are causing harassment to the petitioner without

any legal justification. Learned A.A.G undertakes that respondents No.6 & 7 shall act in accordance with law.

In view of the undertaking given by the learned A.A.G, the Counsel for petitioner is satisfied and does not press the instant petition more. Accordingly, the instant constitutional petition is dismissed as not pressed with directions that official respondents shall act strictly in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Shahid