

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA.

Cr. Bail Appln. NO. S- 588 of 2011.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ order with signature of hon'ble Judge.

1. For order on M.A No.2320/2011.
2. For Hearing. \_\_\_\_\_

15.01.2013.

Mr. Jai Jai Veshnu Mange Ram, advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Faiz Mohammad Larik, advocate for the complainant.

Mr. Abdul Rasheed Soomro, advocate for the State.

=====

This is a bail application filed by the applicant/accused in respect of Crime No.135/2011 filed before P.S Thul U/S 392, 394, 34 PPC.

At the very outset, both the learned counsel for the applicant/accused and complainant submitted that there is a matrimonial dispute therefore, they would not object to the confirmation of interim pre arrest bail which was granted on 05.11.2012.

Learned State Counsel submitted that offence U/S 392, 394, 34 PPC is not compoundable therefore, he opposed the confirmation of pre arrest bail.

It is further observed that since the trial has not been concluded and the applicant and parties are still facing the trial therefore, no concrete findings have been given by the trial Court in respect of alleged offence therefore, per learned counsel for the applicant and complainant the application to the extent of bail could be considered. Once the concrete findings by the trial Court is given only then the contention of State Counsel could be considered. The contention raised by the learned counsel for the

*[Signature]*

✓

OB

applicant and complainant that till such time the trial is concluded,  
the applicant can not be kept behind bars <sup>in force</sup> therefore, as suggested,  
the interim pre arrest bail granted in terms of order dated 05.11.2012,  
is confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

  
JUDGE