

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH  
AT SUKKUR.

CONSTITUTION PETITION NO.S-2330 OF 23012

For Katcha Pesti

31.08.2012

Mr. Mohammad Imran Shamsi for the Petitioner & with  
with Petitioner  
Mr. Imtiaz Ali Soomro, AAG along with members  
Safiullah I/C Inquiry Cell/SSP Office, SIP Cell,  
Abbassi Inquiry Cell/SSP Office, ASI Dilmuran and  
ASI Muhammad Khan

Mr. Achar Khan Gabole files power on behalf of Respondent  
No.9&10 which is taken on record. Statements have been filed by  
respondent No.2,3&5 which are taken on record. Copy thereof has been  
provided to the learned counsel for the Petitioner.

Petitioner Muhammad Azizullah has invoked the jurisdiction of this  
court and prayed as under:-

- a. That this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the  
Respondents No. 3 to 8 not to create any havoc or  
harassment for the petitioner, brothers and family members  
at the instance. Respondent Nos.9&10 in any way except  
due course of Law and they should act strictly in accordance  
with law by not interfering in the matter which is already  
pending adjudication before the competent court of Law. The  
respondent No.2 may be directed to provide legal protection  
to the petitioner party.
- b. To award the costs.
- c. To grant any other equitable relief, which this Hon'ble  
Court deems fit and proper under the circumstances of the  
case, in favour of the petitioner

Respondent No.2, 3 and 5 have filed statements ~~in which~~  
~~in which~~ in which they have categorically denied the version of  
Petitioner. Simultaneously they undertake that they will not harass

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR.

Petitioner and every their action would be strictly in accordance with the Law.

Learned counsel for the private respondents present contended that in-fact dispute is between private respondents and petitioner in respect of the cheque transaction for which FIR under Section 489-F IPC was registered, same is pending for adjudication before the trial Court and they have moved application regarding the harassment caused by the Petitioner for making pressure that respondents withdraw that case.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner contradicts the argument of respondents and have categorically stated that Petitioner has not caused any harassment to the private respondents.

Since, the matter pertains to money transaction, such case is pending for adjudication. However, regarding causing harassment to the official respondents, they have categorically denied and further stated that they will act strictly in accordance with the Law. Therefore, petition touching the merits of the civil dispute between the parties and respondents are hereby directed their action should be completed in accordance with the Law.

On this statement, Petitioner's counsel is satisfied and petition is disposed of.



Brohi