ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P No.D-1160 of 2024

Date

Order with signature of Judge

- 1. For orders on office objections
- 2. For orders on CMA No.4522/2024
- 3. For hearing of main case.

<u>29.10.2024</u>

Mr. Muhammad Haneef Maitlo, Advocate along with petitioner

Mr. Ishrat Ali Lohar, Advocate for the Respondents No. 2 & 3

M/s. Ali Raza Balouch and Zulfigar Ali Naich, AAGs

The petitioner's grievance is that he obtained 65.5 marks in written test, however, in viva-voce he was only given 54 marks, whereas, those candidates, who had obtained marks lesser than him in written test, are given higher marks in viva-voce and have been offered the position.

Learned Counsel representing the Respondents No.2 & 3 has drawn Court's attention towards result sheet of successful candidates where the name of present petitioner is listed at Sr. No.252, which states that in viva-voce, he obtained 54 marks, out of which 18 marks were given to him by Advisor, Member Interview Committee has given him 19 marks and Chairman Interview Committee also awarded him 17 marks. He further states that in fact the last candidate, who has been offered such position, has secured 140 marks. He adds that even in case, if he would be given 74 marks instead of 54 marks in interview, he could not have been considered as the petitioner fall below the merit list in leaps and bounds. However, Counsel for the Respondents states that if petitioner adopts the prescribed procedure Under Rule 161 of the Sindh Public Service Commission (Recruitment Management) Regulations, 2023, his case could be considered in accordance with law.

In these circumstances, Counsel for the Petitioner does not press instant petition and submits that he would avail the remedy provided under Rule 161 of the Sindh Public Service Commission (Recruitment Management) Regulations, 2023.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE