

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
M.A. No. 05 of 2015.



Date _____ Order with signature of Judge _____

1. For hearing of CMA No. 685 of 2013.
2. For hearing of main case.

04th November 2020

Ms. Tayeba Sadia, advocate for appellant.
Mr. Kazim Raza Abbasi, advocate for respondent No.2.

Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

It would be conducive to refer relevant paragraph of the impugned order passed by learned Assistant Registrar of Trade Marks-1, Karachi, which is that:-

“I agree with the learned Counsel for DOLLAR that Trade Mark MY means to own and is a distinctive Trade Mark My is a composite mark and is perfectly capable of distinguishing goods of one party from goods of others. Besides this, There are several registrations of Trade Mark MY in respect of other lines of business, such as MY BANK. Copyright for artistic work MY is registered in favour of DOLLOR. The learned Counsel cited HAPPI Case (1984 CLC 3462) in support of his contentions.”

Admittedly appellant is not running any business with same Trade Mark and it has come on record that appellant has applied with the same logo, which is pending for adjudication. Perusal of above order reflects that no illegality and infirmity in the impugned order is pointed out by the learned counsel for the appellant and reasons assigned by the Registrar Trade Mark are in accordance with law, hence, instant appeal is dismissed alongwith pending application(s).


JUDGE