

62

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA.
Constt: Pett: No.D- 121 of 2011.

Date Order with signature of Hon'ble Judge

1. For orders on M.A No.5718 /2017.
2. For orders on office objection as flag A.
3. For orders on M.A No.305/2011.
4. For orders on M.A No. 306/2011.
5. For hearing of main case.

20.9.2017.

Mr. Habibullah G. Ghouri, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munwar Ali Abbasi, Asstt: A.G.

=====

Through this petition the petitioners have sought the following relief(s):


- a) That this Honourable Court may graciously be pleased to appoint some of the officer of this Honourable Court with directions to pay a surprise visit of the office of respondent No.1 and seize and seal the complete original record of recruitment process of Laboratory Technicians, O.T Technicians, Dispenser and Health Technician etc and produce the same before this Honourable Court for purpose of inspection so as to genuineness of the documents etc is concerned.
- b) To direct the Respondent No.1 to issue appointment orders of the petitioners for the posts applied for by them after proper verification of their testimonials.
- c) To issue a writ of prohibition restraining the respondent No.1 from issuing appointment orders to the persons of their own choice on political basis and in violation of merit.
- d) Award costs of the petition.
- e) Any other equitable relief be granted to the petitioners.


Comments have been filed on behalf of respondents whereas the case of the petitioner is that it is not denied by the respondents that he applied for the post of Laboratory Technician, Dispenser, O.T Technician as stated in para No.3 and 4 of the petition, but they were never called for any written test, hence instant petition has been filed.

27 16

In the comments the respondents have annexed an advertisement published in daily Kawish dated 24.11.2010 and learned A.A.G submits that Advertisement provides appointment on the basis of walk-in-interview, hence there is no question for issuing any notice for appearing in the test and interview. To this learned counsel submits that the petitioners would not press the petition to the extent of their appointment, however, they reserve their right to challenge appointment by the respondents on the basis of walk-in- interview.

In view of above, instant petition is dismissed as not pressed.


JUDGE


JUDGE