ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C. P. No.D-1879 of 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge
1. 2.	For hearing of CMA No.9465/2017 For hearing of main case

29.01.2020

Mr. Usman Hadi, Assistant Attorney General

Counsel for petitioner is called absent. No intimation is received. Let this matter be fixed after four weeks, however, as per roster.

JUDGE

Gulsher/PS

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C.P. No.2673 of 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge
1. 2.	For hearing of CMA No.13071/2017 For hearing of main case

29.01.2020

Mr. Usman Hadi, Assistant Attorney General Syed Riaz Hussain, advocate, holds brief for Syed Mohsin Imam Wasti, advocate for respondent

Counsel for petitioner is called absent. No intimation is received. Learned Assistant Attorney General submits that instant petition appears to have become infructuous in view of considerable lapse of time, whereas, petitioner has sought restraining order from this Court against recovery of impugned demand during pendency of appeal before Commissioner Appeals.

Contention of learned Assistant Attorney General appears to be correct, whereas, petitioner and his counsel are not in attendance without any intimation. Accordingly, instant petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution along with listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C.P. No.580 of 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge	
1.	For orders on Misc. No.29716/2017	
2.	For orders on office objections 1 and 2	
3.	For hearing of Misc. No.3091/2017	
4.	For hearing of main case	
20.01.2020		

<u>29.01.2020</u>

Mr. Usman Hadi, Assistant Attorney General Mr. Muhammad Aqeel Qureshi, advocate for respondent

Counsel for petitioner is called absent. No intimation is received. Learned Assistant Attorney General submits that instant petition appears to have become infructuous in view of considerable lapse of time, whereas, petitioner has prayed for restraining orders from this Court against recovery of impugned demand during pendency of appeal before Commissioner Appeals, whereas, instant petition is fixed before this Court after more than 2 ¹/₂ years.

Contention of learned Assistant Attorney General appears to be correct, whereas, no one has shown appearance on behalf of petitioner and no intimation is received. Accordingly, instant petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution along with listed applications.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C.P. No.245 of 20187

Date	Order with signature of Judge
1. 2.	For hearing of Misc. No.1019/2017 For hearing of main case

29.01.2020

Mr. Usman Hadi, Assistant Attorney General

Ms. Bushra, advocate, holding brief on behalf of Mr. Omair Ali Qazi, learned counsel for petitioner, who is reportedly busy before another Bench, requests for adjournment, whereas, counsel for respondent is called absent. In the interest of justice, adjourned to be fixed after four weeks. Interim order passed earlier to continue till the next date.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI C.P. No.D-2265 OF 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge
1. 2. 3.	For orders on office objection For hearing of CMA No.11212/2017 For hearing of main case
0.	

<u>29.01.2020</u>

Mr. Ameeruddin, advocate for respondent No.4

Mr. Saifullah Abbasi, advocate, holding brief on behalf of Mr. Salahuddin Ahmed, learned counsel for petitioner, who is reportedly busy before another Bench, requests for adjournment, whereas, Mr. Fayyaz, advocate, holding brief on behalf of Dr. Shahnawaz Memon, learned counsel for respondent No.1, requests for time to file comments.

Adjourned to be fixed after four weeks. In the meanwhile, comments if any, on behalf of remaining respondents, shall be filed before the next date, with advance copy to the learned counsel for petitioner.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI C.P. No.D-1576 of 2017

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(s) OF JUDGE(s)

1. For hearing of CMA No.8015/2017

2. For hearing of main case

<u>29.01.2020</u>

Mr. Sami-ur-Rehman, advocate for petitioner Mr. Usman Hadi, Assistant Attorney General Mr. Samiul Haq, Adv. holds brief for Mr. Amjad Javed Hashmi, advocate for respondents

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that instant petition may be disposed of in terms of earlier orders already passed by this Court in number of petitions involving similar controversy, wherein, respondents have been restrained from enforcing recovery of impugned demand, which is subject matter of appeal pending before Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi, till its final decision.

Counsel holding brief as well as learned Assistant Attorney General do not oppose the disposal of instant petition in terms of earlier orders already passed by this Court in number of petitions involving similar controversy.

Accordingly, instant petition is being disposed of with directions to the respondents not to enforce recovery of impugned demand, which is subject matter of appeal before Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi, till its final decision. It is expected that Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi may decide the appeal of the petitioner, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, which shall be communicated to the concerned Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, by petitioner within a week. In case of any adverse order, if passed by Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi, against the petitioner in the instant case, respondents may not enforce recovery of impugned demand for another period of seven (07) days from the date of receipt of such appellate order to enable the petitioner to seek further remedy in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms along with listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI C.P. No.D-1575 of 2017

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(s) OF JUDGE(s)

1. For hearing of CMA No.8012/2017

2. For hearing of main case

<u>29.01.2020</u>

Mr. Sami-ur-Rehman, advocate for petitioner Mr. Usman Hadi, Assistant Attorney General Mr. Samiul Haq, Adv. holds brief for Mr. Amjad Javed Hashmi, advocate for respondents

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that instant petition may be disposed of in terms of earlier orders already passed by this Court in number of petitions involving similar controversy, wherein, respondents have been restrained from enforcing recovery of impugned demand, which is subject matter of appeal pending before Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi, till its final decision.

Counsel holding brief as well as learned Assistant Attorney General do not oppose the disposal of instant petition in terms of earlier orders already passed by this Court in number of petitions involving similar controversy.

Accordingly, instant petition is being disposed of with directions to the respondents not to enforce recovery of impugned demand, which is subject matter of appeal before Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi, till its final decision. It is expected that Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi may decide the appeal of the petitioner, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, which shall be communicated to the concerned Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, by petitioner within a week. In case of any adverse order, if passed by Commissioner (Appeals-III), Inland Revenue, Karachi, against the petitioner in the instant case, respondents may not enforce recovery of impugned demand for another period of seven (07) days from the date of receipt of such appellate order to enable the petitioner to seek further remedy in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms along with listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE