ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

High Court Appeal No.497 of 2024

Sufyan Hussain Siddiqui & another Versus M/s Hyunbo Global Co. Ltd. & others

Date

Order with signature of Judge

- 1. For orders on CMA 3045/24
- 2. For orders on CMA 3046/24
- 3. For hearing of main case
- 4. For orders on CMA 3047/24

Dated: 27.11.2024

Mr. Ch. A. Rasheed for appellants.

Mr. Ahmed Masood along with Mr. Rehan Kiyani for respondents No.1 and 2.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for the appellants has brought before us challenge to a consent order dated 19.11.2024 in terms whereof certain directions were given to the Nazir of this Court. The only grievance raised by the learned counsel for the appellants is to the effect that the learned Single Judge got the machinery sealed by the Nazir whereas the same is otherwise claimed to be operational.

Mr. Ahmed Masood, Advocate has appeared for respondents No.1 and 2 on statutory notice and has filed his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. He also placed before us a statement along with report of the Nazir available at page 257, relevant page 263 and apprise this Court that in terms of its paragraph 3 the work at site was suspended since last three months hence for all intent and purposes it is a claim in the written statement of the respondents that it (the machinery) was their investments and the investments of the appellant was the land itself.

iqbal

The dispute as such has to be taken to its logical end and the machinery would be a pivotal part of the partnership business and/or dispute in respect thereof. The understanding, in terms of the order impugned in this High Court Appeal, would be that the things would remain there as status quo in terms of the Nazir report. Hence, there is nothing to interfere except to maintain the above understanding. In the circumstances, the appeal stands disposed of in the above terms along with listed applications.

Chief Justice

Judge