

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR**

Criminal Bail Application No.S-636 of 2024

Date	Order with Signature of Hon'ble Judge
------	---------------------------------------

**Hearing of bail application**

1. For orders on office objection at flag 'A'
2. For hearing of bail application.

**17.10.2024**

Applicant Abdullah Pervez is present on interim pre-arrest bail  
Mr. Muhammad Hanif Lashari, Advocate for the Applicant  
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, DPG for the State

**ORDER**

**Zulfiqar Ali Sangi, J**:- Applicant Abdullah Pervez seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.157 of 2024 registered under sections 420 and 406 P.P.C at PS Mirwah after his earlier bail for same relief was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mirwah vide impugned order dated 09.09.2024, hence this bail application.

The facts relating to bail application in hand are already mentioned in FIR as well as memo, therefore, there is no need to reproduce the same.

Learned counsel for the applicant has only taken the ground that there is delay of four months in lodging of the FIR, such delay has not been properly explained by the complainant; therefore, the applicant is entitled for the concession of bail.

Learned Deputy PG for the State opposed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the applicant by contending that the delay has properly been explained as the complainant has approached the accused for getting order for a government job, who refused then the FIR was lodged.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned DPG for the State and perused the material available on record. Perusal of record reflects that there appears no enmity between the complainant and the applicant for falsely implicating him nor the allegation of *mala fide* has been agitated against the Investigating Officer. The applicant is nominated in the FIR with specific role that he obtained a huge amount from the complainant for providing him government job and later on the said amount has not been returned to the complainant despite approach by the complainant. Under

these circumstances, the applicant is not entitled for extra ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail, hence the bail application is dismissed, the interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicant vide order dated 12.09.2024 is hereby recalled.

Needless to mention that above observations are tentative in nature only to decide instant bail application and same would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

Judge

ARBROHI