

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI**

C.P No.D-3926 of 2024

Date	Order with signature of the Judge
------	-----------------------------------

Fresh Case

1. For orders on Misc. No. 17191 / 2024
2. For orders on Office Objection No. 05
3. For orders on Misc. No. 17192 / 2024
4. For orders on Misc. No. 17193 / 2024
5. For hearing of main case.

**21.08.2024**

Mr.Imtiaz Ali Solangi, Advocate for the Petitioner

\*\*\*\*\*

Through this writ petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as “**the Constitution**”), seeking the following reliefs: -

- a) *That this Court may be pleased to direct the official respondents to invite the applications only eligible candidates as per the condition in respect of qualification as well as experience.*
- b) *To direct the official respondents to follow the rules and regulations of Province of Sindh in respect of appointment of the Post in BPS-20 or equivalent regarding Age, Qualification as well as experience as per the define Civil Servant Rules 1973.*
- c) *To restrain the respondents not to issue any interview letter to the private respondents till the satisfaction of this Court whether the candidates' have fulfilled the criteria of advertisement in respect for the post of Director (Finance).*
- d) *Any other Relief.*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the official respondents illegally accommodated the blue-eyed persons/private respondents by violating the Rules and Regulations. He also contended that the official respondents are legally bound to invite applications only from eligible candidates as per the criteria mentioned in the advertisement.

3. We have accorded due consideration to the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner and have perused the record, including the

contents of the Petition. It is an admitted position that the recruitment process, pursuant to the advertisement dated 16.03.2024, is underway. There is no material available with the petitioner to show how he has been prejudiced at this stage, given that the recruitment process is still underway. Moreover, it mentions that a test will be taken and interviewed and states the given educational requirements. Hence, the petitioner's contention is misconceived. At this stage, the process is still premature. The official respondents should proceed with the recruitment process as the petitioner's entire case is based on apprehensions. Indisputably, a writ of quo warranto can be issued inter alia when the appointment is contrary to the statutory rules. Yet, no one is appointed for the advertised post here in the present case. This Court does not consider it proper to exercise its discretionary jurisdiction to interfere with the recruitment process.

4. In that view of the matter, this writ Petition without merit, **dismissed in limine.**

J U D G E

J U D G E