

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD
C.P.NO.D-472 OF 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For katcha peshi.

22.02.2017

Mr. Raja Hansraj Naurang, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

Through the instant constitutional petition, the petitioner Bhooro has prayed
for the following relief:-

- “a) To direct the respondents NO.3 to 4 not to harass the petitioner, other legal heirs of deceased Chelo and their family members, in collusion with the respondents NO.5 to 15 and restrain the respondent No.3 from illegal lodging any false and fabricated FIR against the petitioner and his family members, in any manner, without due course of law, without obtaining permission from this Honourable Court, and direct the respondent No.2 to provide protection for life, self respect and property viz. Land bearing Survey Nos.1243 (6-27), 1271 (7-08), 1393 (5-04) and 1269 (24-14), Total area 52-23 acres, situated in Makan and Deh Soorachand, Tapo Adhigam, Taluka Nangarparkar, District Tharparkar of the petitioner and legal heirs of the deceased Chelo.
- b) To direct the respondents No.3 to 15 to restrain themselves from illegal and unlawfully dispossessing the petitioner and legal heirs of the deceased Chelo, from their ancestral Land bearing Survey Nos.1243 (6-27), 1271 (7-08), 1393 (5-04) and 1269 (24-14), Total area 52-23 acres, situated in Makan and Deh Soorachand, Tapo Adhigam, Taluka Nangarparkar, District Tharparkar, in any manner, till final decision of the record of rights/appeal, pending trial before the learned Deputy Commissioner, Tharparkar @ Mithi, Sindh.
- c) That the cost of the petition may be awarded to the petitioner

- d) Any other relief which this Honourable Court deems fit and proper may be awarded.”

Notices were issued against the respondents as well as A.A.G Sindh. Comments have already been filed by learned A.A.G on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5, in which it is mentioned that petitioner and respondents No.6 to 15 belong to the same community and they have dispute over the agricultural land left by deceased Chelo, the father of the petitioner and such appeal as per Counsel for the petitioner is pending before the competent forum. With regard to the allegations of the harassment, the respondent No.2/SSP Tharparkar @ Mithi has denied such allegations. Learned A.A.G undertakes that the official respondents shall act according to law.

In view of the above, the Counsel for the petitioner expresses his satisfaction and does not press the instant constitutional petition more and the same is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE