

37

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Crl. Bail Application No. S- 132 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	1. For orders on Office Objection 'A'
	2. <u>For Hearing</u> .

09.03.2015

Mr. Bilawal Khan Buriro, advocate for the applicant.
Mr. Muneer Ahmed Abbasi, DDPP

Applicant/accused Ali Ahmed seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No. 139 of 2013 registered at Police Station Saddar, Jacobabad for offence under section 337-A(i), 337-F(v), 147, 149, 504, PPC.

After registration of case, applicant/accused along with co-accused Nazar Muhammad Gul Bahar and Bhooral applied for pre-arrest bail before the learned Sessions Judge, Jacobabad. Concession of pre-arrest bail was extended to all the applicants/accused except applicant Ali Ahmed by learned 2nd Additional Sessions Judge, Jacobabad vide order dated 19.11.2013. There-after, applicant has approached this Court.

Learned counsel for the applicant/accused has mainly contended that injury which has been attributed to the applicant is on non vital part of the body. He has further contended that co-accused have already been granted pre-arrest bail by learned Sessions Judge and case of applicant/accused is identical. Lastly he has submitted that alleged offence does not fall within the prohibitory clause of section 497, Cr.P.C. Serious malafies have been alleged on the part of complainant. It is further contended that investigation has been completed and challan has been submitted in the case.

Mr. Muneer Ahmed Abbasi, learned DDPP opposed the bail application.

I am inclined to confirm the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to applicant Ali Ahmed for the reasons that injury attributed to him is on non vital part of the body and it has been declared as Jurh Ghayr Jaifa Hashima. Co-

←

accused Nazar Muhammad, Gul Bahar and Bhooral have already been granted bail by the learned 2nd Additional Sessions Judge, Jacobabad. Case of the applicant/accused is almost identical to the case of co-accused to whom concession of bail has been extended. Case has already been challaned. Serious malafies on the part of complainant has been alleged. In these circumstances, I am of the considered view that case for grant of pre-arrest bail has been made out. Resultantly, interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 14.03.2014 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

9.3.2015
Judge