

**ORDER SHEET**  
**HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,**  
**HYDERABAD**

C.P No.D-73 of 2025

Date	Order with signature of the Judge
------	-----------------------------------

Fresh Case

1. For order on M.A no.248 of 2025 (U/A)
2. For order on office objections
3. For order on M.A No.249 of 2025 (Exemption)
4. For hearing of main case.

**16.01.2025**

Petitioner in person

\*\*\*\*\*

Through this writ petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as “the Constitution”), the Petitioner seeks various reliefs against the Respondents. The demands of the Petitioner include the joining of certain petitions, removal of certain respondents for alleged misconduct, establishment of an inquiry committee, provision of quality education, and appointment to the position of Associate Professor, among others.

2. The Petitioner has been duly heard in person, and the contents of the petition have been scrupulously scrutinized. Upon meticulous review, it appears that the Petitioner has implored the court to consolidate petitions D-1599/2014, D-100/2018, and D-1749/2024 with the instant petition. However, it is paramount to elucidate that the petitions proffered by the Petitioner constitute distinct proceedings, each replete with its own factual matrix and legal considerations. The amalgamation of these petitions, absent a cogent nexus or justification, would egregiously compromise the efficacy and integrity of judicial proceedings. The Petitioner has alleged egregious misconduct by Respondent No. 3 pursuant to the Act of 1996, Section 28(i), yet has failed to produce substantial evidence to substantiate these allegations. The Petitioner has not satisfied the requisite burden of proof necessary to corroborate these claims. Consequently, the court is precluded from mandating the respondent's removal based solely on uncorroborated assertions. The Petitioner contends that the medical facility for the Petitioner's wife, who is afflicted with a life-threatening ailment, was unjustly denied. While this matter is undeniably grave and warrants concern, the Petitioner is obligated to furnish incontrovertible evidence to elucidate the culpability of the respondents. In the absence of such evidentiary support, the court is constrained from intervening. Furthermore, the Petitioner has sought the

expulsion of multiple Respondents for purported rotation of claims and misconduct. However, the Petitioner has not proffered adequate evidence to substantiate these allegations. The court cannot undertake coercive measures against individuals without manifesting malfeasance. Additionally, the Petitioner has broached issues about the quality of education and the appointment to Associate Professor. These matters are inherently administrative and are best adjudicated by the pertinent educational authorities. The court cannot intrude upon the internal affairs of educational institutions without unequivocal evidence of legal transgressions.

3. For the foregoing reasons, the Petitioner has not met the necessary legal standards to warrant the reliefs sought. The claims are largely unsubstantiated and fail to provide the required evidence for judicial intervention; therefore, this writ petition is dismissed without any merit in limine.

J U D G E

J U D G E