## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Revision Appl. No. S-43 of 2022

DATE OF HEARING ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection at flag 'A'
- 2. For orders on MA No. 4042/2022 (Ex/A)
- 3. For hearing of main case

## 01.03.2024

Mr. Saeed Jamal Lund, Advocate for applicant

- 1. Over Ruled.
- 2. Exemption is granted, subject to all just legal exceptions.
- 3. The applicant has come up before this Court challenging the order dated 26.03.2022, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV Khairpur in Crl. Complaint No. 58 of 2022 titled (Re-Advocate Ahmed Sufiayn vs. Mukhtiarkar (Revenue) Taluka Khairpur and others), wherein the learned Court has dismissed the Criminal Complaint filed U/S 3 & 4 of Illegal Dispossession Act, 2005 being infructuous.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and have gone through impugned order so also other material available on record.

From the perusal of impugned order it reflects that on the basis of report of Mukhtiarkar that S. No. 450/32-10 is not demarcated and after its demarcation the position will be clarified. Report further reflects that possession of the suit land also not verified. Under such circumstances, the trail Court observed that no case under Illegal Dispossession Act, 2005 is made out.

The impugned order dated 26.03.2022 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV Khairpur is according to law and speaking one which does not require any interference. No illegality or any infirmity has been committed in the impugned order. Under such circumstances, the impugned order is hereby maintained and the instant Crl. Revision Application stands dismissed in limine.

JUDGE