

Order Sheet  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-226 of 2024

---

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

---

1. For orders on office objection
2. For hearing of M.A. No. 1430/2024
3. For hearing of main case

25.07.2024

Petitioner present in person.  
Mr. Abdullah K. Laghari advocate for respondent No.4.  
Mr. Ravi Kumar advocate for respondent No.5  
Mr. Muhammad Ismail Bhutto, Addl. A.G Sindh.

Parawise comments filed by respondent No.4 and written reply filed by respondent No.5 are taken on record.

The petitioner had filed C.P. No.D-656/2019 which was determined by this Court vide order dated 11.11.2021. Admittedly, the grievance of the petitioner if any with regard to implementation of the judgment is sub judice before this Court in the said proceedings. The primary prayer clause seeks implementation of the earlier judgment of the Division Bench which is not permissible.

The petitioner also seeks an inquiry to be conducted, however, delving into such factual issues requiring evidence / inquiry etc. does not fall within the realm of writ jurisdiction.

The petitioner also prays for recovery of financial emoluments and benefits from the respondent No.5, without impleading the said person in her own right. Prima facie, this is not a quo warranto petition, hence, the question of such consequential relief could also not be considered in this petition.

In view of the foregoing, this petition is found to be misconceived and is dismissed.

Judge

Judge