

**ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
HYDERABAD**

Criminal Miscellaneous Application No.S-375 of 2024

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
	1. For orders on M.A.No.6754/2024.
	2. For orders on office objections.
	3. For orders on M.A.No.6755/2024.
	4. For hearing of main case.

**02.07.2024**

Mr. Ghulam Rasool Mallah, Advocate for applicant.

==

1. Granted.

2,3&4. The applicant has assailed the order dated 01.06.2024 rendered in Criminal Bail Application 328 of 2024 by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Hala. The impugned order grants pre-arrest bail to certain accused and the present proceedings seeks cancellation of the same. It is considered illustrative to reproduce the order hereinbelow:

*"I have gone through the record, it appears that incident burning of vehicle was taken place on 28-03-2024, whereas the FIR was registered on 01-05-2024, after a delay of 34 days without furnishing plausible explanation. Even no Roznamcha entry in respect of incident has been recorded in the police station. Not only this, the fire-brigade arrived promptly at the place of incident and successfully extinguished the fire. However, there is no record in the fire brigade's report detailing the cause of the fire. Besides, neither the complainant nor the Investigating Officer has been able to provide any ownership document for the burnt vehicle. All these facts require further inquiry into the matter.*

*In view of above discussion, interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicants/accused is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions."*

The impugned order succinctly encapsulates the grounds for grant of bail and no exception in such regard has been demonstrated before this Court. The order sheet also demonstrates the presence of the complainant's learned counsel. The trial is stated to be under process and regardless of the fate thereof no case has been articulated to preclude the accused from the benefit of bail for the tenancy thereof. The learned trial Court is empowered to regulate the custody of the accused and appears to have done so. There is no suggestion that the concession of bail has been misused, thus far.

Since no infirmity could be demonstrated in so far as the impugned order is concerned, hence the same merits no interference by this Court. This Criminal Miscellaneous Application is found to be devoid of merit, hence, dismissed in *limine*.

Judge