

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Constitution Petition No. D-1545 of 2022

(Manzoor Ahmed Phulpoto Vs. Province of Sindh & others)

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

Before;

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J;
Muhammad Abdur Rahman, J;

Date of hearing and order: 16-05-2024.

Mr. Waqar Ahmed Phulpoto, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Shehryar Imdad Awan, Assistant A.G, Sindh.

ORDER.

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon J:- Petitioner seek directions to the respondent-Education and Literacy Department Government of Sindh to offer appointments of Primary School Teacher (PST), inter-alia on the ground that he qualified the test for the post of PST conducted by Testing Service (NTS) based on Union Council in the year 2012; and he waited for long time, when this Court intervened in the matter and directed the scrutiny committee to scrutinize the candidature of the colleagues of the petitioner who remained successful candidates along with the petitioner in the year 2012 and the case of the petitioner is akin to the case of their colleagues decided by this court vide order dated 21.2.2023 in Constitution Petitions No.D-65 of 2023, No.D-47 of 2022, No.D-396 of 2022, No. D-555 of 2022, No.D-1880 of 2019 and No.D-684 of 2016.

2. Learned AAG has submitted that the process of recruitment was initiated in the year 2013 and the petitioner after almost ten years has filed this petition as such this petition is hit by laches. He prayed for dismissal of this petition. To rebut the stance of learned AAG, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the petitioner be directed to appear before the Grievance Redressal Committee, as ordered by different Benches of this Court.

3. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material available on record with their assistance.

4. Prima-facie this petition suffers from laches, and hence same is accordingly disposed of along with the listed application(s) with the observation that the petitioner may available his remedy before the Grievance Redressal Committee to examine his case as this Court is not in a position to dilate upon the eligibility of the petitioner at this stage whether he qualified for the subject post or otherwise.

Judge

Judge