#### **ORDER SHEET**

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Appeal No. S-109 of 2023

(Abdul Raheem @ Raheem Shah vs. The State)

#### **DATE**

# ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For Orders on office objection.
- 2. For hearing of MA No. 6168/2023 (426 Cr.P.C)
- 3. For hearing of main case.

### 29-01-2024.

- Mr. Naeemuddin Chachar, advocate for the appellant.
- Mr. Shafiq Ahmed Leghari, advocate for the complainant.
- Mr. Zulfiquar Ali Jatoi, Additional P.G General.

-.-.-.-.-.-

It is contended by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant on investigation was found to be innocent by the police; the aggregate sentence with fine awarded to him being **four years** is short one; he was enjoying the concession of bail at trial and disposal of his appeal is likely to take time on account of heavy pendency; therefore, he is entitled to be released on bail by suspending the operation of impugned sentence, which is not opposed by learned Additional P.G for the State; however, it is opposed by learned counsel for the complainant by contending that the offence which the appellant has committed is affecting the society at large.

Heard arguments and perused the record.

In view of above, while relying upon the case of *Abdul Hameed Vs. Muhammad Abdullah and others (1999 SCMR-2589)*, the operation of impugned sentence is suspended and the appellant is directed to be released on bail, subject to furnishing surety in sum of **Rs.50,000/-(Rupees Fifty thousand)** and PR bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court.

Instant application is disposed of accordingly.

Adjourned to be fixed for hearing of main appeal, after preparation of paper book within four week time.

JUDGE

Nasim/P.A