

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

**Crl. Bail Appln. No. S – 661 of 2023**

---

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

---

For Hearing of Bail Application.

-

**12-01-2024.**

Mr.Syed Mujahid Ali Shah Advocate for Applicant.

Mr.Ghulam Rasool Narejo Advocate for complainant.

Mr.Sardar Ali Shah Addl.P.G.

-

**ORDER.**

**ZULIFQUAR ALI SANGI, J-** Through this application applicant Bachal Narejo seeks Post Arrest Bail in Crime No.56 of 2014 Police Station, Baharo @ Phullu, District Khairpur registered for offence under Section 302, 324, 429, 148, 149, PPC r/w Section 7 ATA, 1997.

2. Prior to this, an application for grant of post-arrest bail on behalf of the present applicant, was moved, which was dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I/Model Criminal Trial Court-I, Sukkur vide order dated 07.09.2023. Now, the applicant has therefore, approached this Court with the same prayer.

3. Since the facts of the prosecution case have already been mentioned in the impugned order as well as in the memo of bail application, therefore there is no need to repeat the same.

4. I have heard the arguments of the learned counsel for the applicant, learned counsel for the complainant and learned Addl. Prosecutor General appearing on behalf of the State and also perused the police papers.

5. Per contents of FIR, the present applicant made direct fire with his K.K upon Mst.Sabul wife of complainant, which hit her and she died on the spot. Record further reflects that in this case having made straight fires, the accused persons have killed two other innocent ladies namely, Imamzadi and Shamna (daughters) of the complainant and injured other persons. Since a specific role has been assigned to the applicant in the commission of alleged offence and three persons lost their lives, as such this is a case of heinous nature, in these circumstances, the applicant is not entitled for concession of bail, therefore the application filed by him (Cr1.Bail Application No. S – 661 of 2023) is hereby dismissed. However, the learned trial Court is directed to decide the case within a period of 06 months by not allowing unnecessary adjournment application.

The bail application stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

