ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Misc. Application No.S-815 of 2023

(Syed Kaleemullah Shah Vs The State & others)

DATE OF HEARING

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For Orders on office objections.
- 2. For Orders on MA No. 6844/2023 (Ex./A)
- 3. For hearing of main case.
- 4. For hearing of MA No. 6845/2023 (Stay)

<u>06-12-2023.</u>

Mr. Humail Rafi Mahesar, advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Imran Mobeen Khan, Assistant Prosecutor General.

- 1. Complied.
- 2. Deferred.

3 &4. The applicant by preferring the instant Crl. Misc. Application has impugned order dated 07-11-2023, whereby learned Sessions/ Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Khairpur has directed the police to record statement of the private respondent for purpose of FIR against the applicant for allegedly having issued a cheque dishonestly.

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the parties are disputed over settlement of account and such aspect of the case has been lost sight of by learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace while directing the police to record statement of private respondent for purpose of FIR; therefore, impugned order is liable to set aside.

- 3. None has come forward to advance arguments on behalf of the private respondent; however learned Assistant P.G for the State by supporting the impugned order has sought for dismissal of instant Crl. Misc. Application.
- 4. Heard arguments and perused the record.

- 5. There appears to be dispute between the parties over settlement of account. If for the sake of arguments, it is believed that subject cheque has been issued in favour of the applicant by the private respondent dishonestly and for that his FIR is not being recorded by the police, then he has an alternate remedy to exhaust by filing a direct complaint of the incident before the Court having jurisdiction; such remedy if is exhausted besides being alternate would be adequate in the circumstances for the reason that the police has hardly do anything in the case like present one on investigation as entire evidence which is likely to be collected by the police is already lying with the private respondent; consequently impugned order is set aside.
- 6. The instant Crl. Misc. Application is disposed of accordingly.

Judge

Nasim/P.A