

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Cr. Acq. Appeal No. S – 82 of 2023

(Ghulam Murtaza Panhwar v. Assistant Commissioner Gambat & others)

Date of hearing : **27.11.2023**

Date of decision : **27.11.2023**

Mr. Muhammad Yakoob Soomro, Advocate for appellant.
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy Prosecutor General.

J U D G M E N T

Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J. – Appellant Ghulam Murtaza Panhwar has filed this acquittal appeal against judgment dated 22.06.2023, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gambat in Criminal Complaint No.84 of 2014 (Re: Ghulam Murtaza Panhwar versus Allah Bakhsh and others) under Sections 3 & 4 of Illegal Dispossession Act, 2005.

2. I have heard learned Counsel for the appellant/complainant and Deputy Prosecutor General. The latter has supported the impugned judgment. I have perused it and am of the view that the learned trial Court has discussed the evidence in its proper context, and has come to a conclusion that appellant has failed to prove the case against the respondents. He has highlighted in Para No.9 & 10 the discrepancies and contradictions in the evidence of complainant, sufficient to undermine veracity of allegations leveled by the appellant against respondents.

3. Learned Counsel for appellant has failed to show any piece of evidence, which was not considered by the learned trial Court, or which is in favour of the appellant to justify reversal of acquittal into conviction and sentence against the respondents. This being the position, it is apparent that this acquittal appeal is meritless, and is accordingly **dismissed**.