## ORDER SHEET

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Cr. Bail App. No. S - 560 of 2023

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

## For hearing of bail application

- 1. For order on office objection at Flag-A
- 2. For hearing of bail application

## 03.11.2023

Mr. Aftab Hussain Shar, Advocate for applicants.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

.-.-.-.-.

<u>Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J. –</u> Mr. Inam Ali Larik, Advocate has filed power on behalf of complainant, which is taken on record.

- 2. As per FIR, applicants and other 03 co-accused, duly armed with weapons, barged into house of complainant on 16.07.2023, were identified in solar light and tried to abduct her niece Mst. Kalsoom, but on her cries, they left her and went away, hence FIR.
- 3. Learned Counsel for applicants submits that co-accused Rustam Ali has already been granted pre-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 11.09.2023 in Cr. Bail App. No. S-552 of 2023, and case of applicants is on identical facts. His arguments have been opposed by learned Counsel for complainant, but learned Additional Prosecutor General submits that rule of consistency is applicable in terms of the role assigned to the applicants in FIR.
- 4. Accordingly, on the ground of rule of consistency and the case being of further enquiry as there is allegation of only attempt to abduct, this application is **allowed**, and applicants are **granted** post-arrest bail subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) each and P.R. bond of the same amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.
- 5. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and shall not influence the trial Court while deciding the case on merits.

The bail application stands **disposed of** in the above terms.