

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

- Zahoor Ahmed S/O Abdul Hakeem, Muslim, Adult, by caste Kalwar, (Dispenser BPS-09).
- Shahnawaz S/O Muhammad Kalwar, Muslim, Adult, by caste Kalwar, (Chowkedar BPS-02). Both R/O Village Chunglani, Taluka & District Ghokit.
- Muhammad Aslam S/O Muhammad Azeem, Muslim, Adult, by caste Kolcahi, (Attendant BPS-03). R/O Village Qadir Bux, Taluka & District Ghotki.
- Shoukat Ali S/O Shahnawaz Dharejo, Muslim, Adult, by caste Dharejo, (Attendant BPS-03). R/O Village Izat Khan Dharejo Taluka & District Ghotki.

Versus

- Executive District Officer (Health) Ghotki at Mirpur Mathelo
- Secretary Health Government of Sindh Karachi.
- District Account Officer District Ghotki.
- Secretary Finance Department Government of Sindh Karachi.
- Government of Sindh through Chief Secretary Sindh, Sindh Secretariat Karachi.....Respondents

CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 THE CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN, 1973.

----+ fully

ORDER SHEET

E HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P No.D-2776/2011.



- For katcha peshi.
- For hearing of CIMA 9681/2011.

28.11.2012. Mr. Zulfigar Ali Naich advocate for the petitioners. Mr. Noor Hassan Malik for AAG for the State.

At the very out set, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that under similar facts and circumstances identical petitions No.D-2820/2011 & D-2850/2011 have been allowed by this Court vide order dated 14.11.2012, therefore instant petition be disposed of in same terms. Mr. Noor Hassan Malik for State after going through the orders referred to above very candidly conceded the same.

With the consent of learned counsel for the petitioner and State counsel Mr. Malik, we dispose of the petition in terms of order dated 14.11.2012 passed in C.P No.D-2820/2011 & C.P No.D-2850/2011. For the sake of convenience the operative part of order dated 14.11.2012 is reproduced as under:-

"It appears to us that the impugned orders lack reasoning, which is mandatory requirement of Section 24-A of the General Clauses Act. Such fact is eminent from language of the impugned orders, i.e. "As per directions of higher authorities, the Appointment Order of such and such vide office order so and so is hereby cancelled/withdrawn with immediate effect." Yet another thing apparent in the orders is that although therein is allusion as to their being issued with the directions of authorities, yet it is not specifically stated as to which was the authority by approval of whom such has been so done. Furthermore, issuance of termination orders was in sheer infraction of principles of natural justice, which are applicable to every statute unless the statute itself provides for the contrary effect. inasmuch as the petitioners were neither issued show cause notices nor were thy afforded any opportunity of being heard before undertaking such an exercise of termination.

Impugned orders, thus, cannot be sustained and, consequently, we allow this petition and quash the impugned orders dated 28.09.2011. Needless to say, the Department may have recourse to

ORDER SHEET HE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

proceedings against the petitioners in accordance with law."

JUDGE

JUDGE.

M.F.