

**Order Sheet**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR**  
**C. P No. D – 76 of 2019**

---

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Order with Signature of Hon'ble Judge \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Hearing of cases (Priority)**

1. For hearing of CMA No.280/2019 (S/A)
2. For hearing of main case

**27.10.2022**

Mr. Muhammad Asim Malik, Advocate for the petitioner  
Mr. Nisar Ali Memon, Advocate for respondents 9 and 10  
Mr. Ali Raza Baloch, Assistant Advocate General

<><><><>..<><><><>

Learned counsel for the petitioner files rejoinder to the parawise comments of respondents 4 as well as 9 and 10, respectively, which are taken on record, copy whereof is supplied to learned counsel for respondents 9 and 10 as well as to learned AAG Sindh.

When learned counsel for the petitioner was confronted with the statement of respondent No.4, which reflects that the respondent No.10 in the enquiry produced copy of registered sale deed bearing No.12 dated 31.01.1994 revealing that he has purchased an area of 27225 sq. ft while respondent No.8 purchased an area of (2-20) Acres of Deh Trimoonh, Tapo Dara Wahan, Taluka Rohri and on query about the maintainability of this petition, he candidly states that the petitioner shall approach the competent Civil Court for redressal of his grievance, as according to him the respondents 8 and 10 have got the aforesaid conveyance deed by fraud.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed as not pressed along with listed application, leaving the petitioner to avail his remedy under usual mode of proceedings in accordance with the law, if so advised.

Judge

Judge