IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Present:

Muhammad Junaid Ghaffar, J.

Agha Faisal, J.

C P D 1701 of 2022 : Zahid Iqbal Chachar vs.

Province of Sindh & Others

For the Petitioner : Mr. Muhammad Khan Shaikh, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Malik Altaf Hussain, Advocate

Date/s of hearing : 16.11.2022

Date of announcement : 16.11.2022

ORDER

Agha Faisal, J. The petitioner, representing himself to be a BS-18 officer, has filed this petition impugning an order issued by the Government of Sindh Services, General Administration and Coordination Department dated 27.09.2021 ("Impugned Notification"), whereby the respondent no. 4 (stated to be an officer in BS-20) was allowed to hold the post of Director General Livestock Sindh Hyderabad (BS-20) in place of the earlier incumbent who was in BS-19.

2. At the very onset, petitioner's counsel was confronted with respect to maintainability hereof, *inter alia*, as to his manifest lack of *locus standi* to assail the Impugned Notification. In response, the counsel failed to befall the petitioner within the definition of an aggrieved person.

The counsel was queried as to whether he sought to agitate the matter for issuance of a writ of *quo warranto* when he himself worked as an interested subordinate in the same department; however, he replied in the negative and submitted that the petitioner's status as the president of some veterinary association be construed to confer *locus standi* upon him to call the Impugned Notification into challenge.

Needless to state that the petitioner's counsel failed to satisfy this Court in respect to the maintainability hereof.

CP D 1701 of 2022 Page 2 of 2

3. It is noted that the respondent no 4 serves in BS-20 and vide the Impugned Notification has been assigned an office commensurate with the said grade.

4. The petitioner has failed to demonstrate any infirmity, jurisdictional or otherwise, with respect to the Impugned Notification and has even otherwise failed to set forth any case for entertaining this petition in the writ jurisdiction of this Court. In view hereof, we find this petition to be misconceived and even otherwise devoid of merit, hence, the same, along with pending application/s, is hereby dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE