ORDER SHEETIN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Criminal Appeal No. 224 of 2015 Criminal Appeal No. 225 of 2015 Criminal Appeal No. 226 of 2015 Criminal Appeal No. 227 of 2015 Criminal Appeal No. 228 of 2015

Date Ordei	≀ With	SIGNATURE	OF	' JUDGES
------------	--------	-----------	----	----------

For hearing of case.

02-11-2022

Both the appellants produced in judicial custody by ASI Muhammad Riaz. Ms. Robina Qadir, DPG.

==========

Both the appellants produced in judicial custody. It appears that their cases have not been followed by their respective counsels. What I have been informed by the prisoners is that 36 cases were registered against them. They have been acquitted in 25 cases. 11 appeals were filed in this court. Out of those 11 appeals, following 5 are fixed today for hearing

<u>Sr. No.</u>	Crl. Appeal No.	F.I.R. No.	Police Station	<u>Under section</u>
1.	224/2015	02/2008	Gizri	392/398/34 PPC
2.	225/2015	40/2009	Gizri	392/398/34 PPC
3.	226/2015	31/2009	Gizri	392/398/34 PPC
4.	227/2015	64/2009	Darakshan	392/398/34 PPC
5.	228/2015	425/2008	Gizri	392/398/34 PPC

The prisoners are unaware as to what is happening in the 6 remaining cases and they do not know the number of the appeals. They are unrepresented by a counsel. What I gather from them and the papers which have been shown to me is that the 6 other appeals arising from the judgments of the learned trial courts were in cases arising from the 1. F.I.R. No. 36/2009 P.S. Boat Basin 2. F.I.R. No.535/2008 P.S. Defence 3. F.I.R. No.376/2008 P.S. Boat Basin 4. F.I.R. No.506/2008 P.S. Gizri 5. F.I.R. No. 32/2009 P.S. Gizri 6. F.I.R. No.13/2008 P.S. Defence. It appears to me that a case which arose out of F.I.R. No.376/2008 registered at P.S. Boat Basin has been decided by this court and that the prisoners have preferred an appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan. Let the office confirm the number of the appeals arising from the aforementioned F.I.Rs. and fix all the appeals for hearing on the next date. The prisoners have requested that a counsel on State expense be provided to them as

they do not have means to engage a counsel. Accordingly, Mr. Raham Ali Rind advocate is appointed as a pauper advocate to represent both the appellants on State expenses. His professional fee is tentatively fixed at Rs. 40,000/- to be paid by the State. Let an intimation be sent to the learned counsel for his appointment as a pauper advocate. Issue notice to the complainant(s). Office is directed to provide a copy of the paper book at State expenses to Mr. Raham Ali Rind, Advocate for the appellants.

The Senior Superintendent, Central Prison has furnished a report dated 1.11.2022. According to the report the prisoners have completed their sentences in all 5 cases out of which the captioned appeals arise. In view of the fact that they have completed their sentences, both prisoners have themselves stated that they do not want to press these appeals any further. They request for permission to withdraw all 5 appeals. In view of the foregoing, all 5 appeals stand dismissed as withdrawn.

To come up after two weeks. Office to place a copy of this order in all connected appeals.

JUDGE

saleem