

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
High Court Appeal No.98 of 2020

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

Fresh Case:

1. For order on CMA No.862/2020 (Urgent)
2. For order on CMA No.863/2020 (Exemption)
3. For hearing of main case.
4. For order on CMA No.864/2020 (Stay)

27.04.2020.

Mr. Abdul Latif Chandio, Advocate for the appellants.

1. Urgency granted.
- 2-4. This High Court Appeal (**HCA**) has been filed impugning the order dated 23.04.2020 passed by the learned Single Judge in Suit No.518 of 2020.

Mr. Abdul Latif Chandio Advocate has appeared on behalf of the appellants and submits that the appellants were not given opportunity of hearing by the learned Single Judge while hearing the matter. He next submitted that the present appellants also have some claim over the present respondents. He in the end submitted that the appellants apprehend that if they did not comply with the order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 23.04.2020 they would have to suffer the rigors of contempt of Court proceedings.

The learned counsel has been heard and record has been perused.

The contentions raised by the learned counsel on the very face of it appear to be wholly misconceived and not maintainable. It is very much evident from the order passed by the learned Single Judge that the appellants' counsel was given chance to make his submissions and his

opposition has duly been recorded by the learned Single Judge, which clearly denotes that opportunity of hearing has duly been provided by the learned Single Judge to him. So far as the aspect regarding some claim of the appellants against the respondents is concerned, firstly the same does not seem to be the subject matter of Suit No.518 of 2020 and secondly if the appellants have some claim against the respondents, they are at liberty to do the needful in accordance with law. Insofar as the contention of the learned counsel that if the appellants do not comply with the order, they have to face the consequences of contempt the same appears to be totally fallacious, as it is a settled proposition of law that if anybody fails to comply with the orders passed by the Court, he has to face the consequences of contempt of Court proceedings.

In view of the above discussion, this HCA is found to be wholly misconceived and not maintainable and hence the same stands dismissed in limine, alongwith listed applications.

JUDGE

JUDGE