

**JUDGMENT SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD**

Cr. Appeal No.S-208 of 2021

Appellants: Tanveer & Dilbar through Ms. Nayyar  
Sultana Shaikh, Advocate

Respondent: The State through Ms. Rameshan Oad,  
A.P.G

Complainant: Muhammad Asad through Rehan Khan,  
Advocate

Date of hearing & judgment: 01.04.2022

**J U D G M E N T**

**SALAHUDDIN PANHWAR, J.**Through miscellaneous application bearing No.MA No.2167 of 2022, the parties have prayed to accord permission to compound the offence under section 345(2) Cr.P.C. and through application being MA No. 2168 of 2022 prayed for acquittal of the appellants by way of compromise under section 345 (6) Cr.P.C.

**2.** Through impugned judgment dated 12.10.2021, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Tando Muhammad Khan in Sessions Case No.158 of 2019 (The State V Tanveer and another), emanating from Crime No.222 of 2019, registered at Police Station Tando Muhammad Khan, under sections 336 and 34 PPC, Appellant Tanveer was convicted u/s 336 PPC and sentenced to suffer R.I ten years; as well directed to pay half of Diyat amount as Arsh amounting to

Rs.21,30,602.5/- to complainant/injured and in case of default, he was directed to remain in jail till payment of said Arsh amount; whereas appellant Dilbar was convicted u/s 34 r/w section 336 PPC and sentenced to undergo R.I for five years.

**3.** Both the aforementioned applications duly signed by both parties as well as their respective counsels and are supported with the affidavits of complainant/injured Asad. Complainant/injured Asad is present alongwith his counsel and has raised his no objection for acquittal of the appellants by stating that he entered into compromise with the appellants due to intervention of nekmards outside the Court and pardoned them in the name of ALMIGHTY ALLAH as well as waived the right of Diyat/Arsh amount directed to be paid by appellant Tanveer to him, in order to maintain good relations.

**4.** Mr. Shahzado Saleem Nahyoon, the learned Additional Prosecutor General, who is present in Court in connection with other cases while waiving notices of compromise applications, has extended his no objection on the plea that the offence is compoundable.

**5.** For what has been mentioned above as well as in order keep cordial relations and harmony between the parties in future and strike off the enmity between them, the permission to compound the offence is allowed under section 345 [2] Cr.P.C. As a result of which, the appellants are acquitted of the charge under section 345 [6] Cr.P.C. The office shall issue release writ of the appellants, who shall be released forthwith if not required in any other custody case.

**6.** In view of above, the instant Criminal Appeal stands disposed of along with listed applications.

JUDGE

S