

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.
Criminal Revision Application No. S-110 of 2020

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For orders on office objections

For hearing of main case

11.03.2021.

Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Chang, advocate for applicant.

Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, advocate for respondents No.2 to 7.

Ms. Safa Hisbani A.P.G for the State.

====

The applicant by way of instant Revision Application has impugned order dated 30.11.2020 passed by learned Ist Additional Sessions Judge/MCTC, Mirpurkhas whereby his direct complaint was dismissed.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant in preliminary enquiry was able to prove his case for cognizance; therefore, learned trial Court ought not to have dismissed his direct complaint by way of impugned order, same being illegal is liable to be set-aside with direction to learned trial Court to take cognizance of the incident.

3. Learned A.P.G for the State and learned counsel for the private respondents have sought for dismissal of the instant Criminal Revision Application by supporting the impugned order.

4. I have considered the above arguments and perused the record.

5. The applicant and his witnesses are alleged to have been fired at by the private respondents with intention to commit their murder, yet they were able to escape those fires, which appears to be surprising.

The parties apparently are disputed with each other since long and the filing of instant direct complaint on the part of applicant perhaps was with view to settle his old score with the private respondents. In these circumstances, learned trial Court was right to dismiss the direct complaint of the applicant by way of impugned order which is not calling for any interference by this Court by way of instant Criminal Revision Application, it is dismissed accordingly.

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa.