ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI C.P. No. D-6524 of 2019

Date

Order with Signature(s) of Judge(s)

Priority

- 1. For order on office objection.
- 2. For hearing of Misc. No. 29284/19.
- 3. For hearing of main case.

18.11.2021

Ms. Shagufta Parveen, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Muhammad Khurram Ghayas, Advocate for S.B.C.A.

Mr. Muhammad Rashid Advocate holding brief for Mr. S. Hassan

M. Abidi, Advocate for K.M.C.

Through instant petition, the petitioners seek direction to respondents No. 1 to 5, 8 & 9 to take action against respondents No. 6 & 7 (Military Estate Office, Faisal Cantonment Board, Shah Faisal, Karachi and Pakistan Railways Cooperative Housing Society, Karachi) and other various land grabbers, who under the umbrella of respondent No. 6 have illegally encroached upon the land owned by the petitioners. The petitioners also seek direction to respondents No. 1 to 5, 8 & 9 to restore various pieces of land on their pointation from illegal and unauthorized occupation of land mafia.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are the owners of land bearing survey No. 42, 43, 55, 56, 57, 68, 83 to 91, 87, 96, 97, 130, 131, 169 and various other survey numbers situated in Deh Okewari, Taluka Karachi ("subject land") which is their ancestral land; however, the same has illegally been possessed by various groups of land grabbers. He further contends that besides respondents No. 6 & 7, some other housing societies have also illegally and unlawfully grabbed big chunks of the subject land with active connivance of local police, revenue department and K.D.A.

2

On query, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners

have filed copy of Deh Form VII-B showing their ownership over the subject

land.

We have examined the alleged Deh Form VII-B, which does not bear the

names of the petitioners.

Besides, the survey numbers claimed by the petitioners in this petition do

not find mention in the said Deh For VII-B, while the survey numbers mentioned

therein are on the name of Circular Railway. The petitioners have also annexed

some other documents with this petition in support of their claim but the same

also do not bear their names as owners. As per claim of the petitioners the subject

land is occupied by respondents No. 6 & 7 and other housing societies, the

possession of government or semi-government departments cannot be termed as

land grabbing. This petition being devoid of any merit is; therefore, dismissed

accordingly, along with listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Athar Zai